



Public Works Commission Meeting Minutes - Draft

Gio DiDomenico, Chair
Joel Wood, Vice Chair
Aidan Gray
Keith Novak
Oscar Rangel
Tami Reeder
Rigel Rucker

Monday, April 6, 2026

5:30 PM

**Castle Rock Service Center
4175 Castleton Court
Castle Rock, CO 80109**

This meeting is open to the public. Please note that all times indicated on the agenda are approximate and interested parties are encouraged to be present earlier than the posted time. Three or more Council members may also attend this meeting, during which the items listed herein will be discussed.

Dinner and Informal Discussion

Call To Order

Commissioner Rucker arrived at 5:40 p.m.

- Present** 6 - Vice-Chair Joel Wood, Chair Gio DiDomenico, Commissioner Aidan Gray, Commissioner Tami Reeder, Commissioner Oscar Rangel, and Commissioner Rigel Rucker
- Not Present** 1 - Commissioner Keith Novak
- Attendance** 7 - Ryan Hollingshead, Dan Sailer, John LaSala, Aaron Monks, Andrew Focht, Eric Seagren, and Monica Cammalleri

Public Comment on Items Not on the Agenda

There were no public comments.

Action Items

[PWC 2026-018](#)

March 2, 2026 Public Works Commission Meeting Minutes

A motion was made by Commissioner Gray and seconded by Commissioner Rangel to approve the minutes from the March 2, 2026 meeting. Motion passed 5-0.

- Yes:** 5 - Wood, Chair DiDomenico, Commissioner Gray, Commissioner Reeder, and Commissioner Rangel
- Not Present:** 2 - Commissioner Novak, and Commissioner Rucker

[PWC 2026-019](#)

Resolution Approving a Construction Contract with Civil Specialties, Inc. for the Canyon Drive Sidewalk Project

Construction of approximately 1,400 linear feet of new concrete sidewalk along the south side of Canyon Drive between Front Street and Sunset Court adjacent to Rock Park. The project also includes installation of pedestrian safety railing, grading, and restoration of disturbed areas. These improvements will provide a continuous pedestrian connection where no dedicated pedestrian infrastructure currently exists.

The following questions were asked by the Commission:

1. Why were Civil specialties extremely lower than other bidders?
 - a. Civil specialties is a smaller contractor with very small overhead compared to other larger companies. We had concerns about their pricing at first glance but

after talking to them and their reference we felt they were the right choice for this size and complexity of project.

2. What grant is being used for this project? And will this project use the entire grant?
 - a. This project is being funded through the Community Development Block Grant. Out of the entire allocation for the project, there will be a balance of \$25,804 remaining. The Grant Administrator, who works in Development Services, can find other ways/small projects in order to expend the full amount of the grant
3. Are there other areas in the Town that have gaps in the neighborhood sidewalks?
 - a. Yes, there are. These are identified and prioritized for funding as we are able.
4. Is it typical to construct the missing sidewalks or does the Town wait for the developer to install?
 - a. It is ideal to have a developer install missing sidewalk so that it is not a Town expense. We try to use this method wherever we can.

A motion was made by Commissioner Rangel and seconded by Commissioner Woodl to approve the resolution Approving a Construction Contract with Civil Specialties, Inc. for the Canyon Drive Sidewalk Project. Motion passed 6-0.

Yes: 6 - Wood, Chair DiDomenico, Commissioner Gray, Commissioner Reeder, Commissioner Rangel, and Commissioner Rucker

Not Present: 1 - Commissioner Novak

[PWC 2026-020](#)

Resolution Waiving the Town's Formal Written Bidding Requirement on the Basis of Sole Source and Approving an Agreement for Service with CORE Electric Cooperative, for the Crowfoot Valley Road Widening Project

This agreement with CORE is required to construct new street lighting infrastructure and perform associated electrical work. Under existing agreements, CORE owns, operates, and maintains street lighting infrastructure within the Town, making their involvement necessary for this work. When the street lights are installed, the Town will be responsible for paying CORE a flat rate per light for operations and maintenance.

The following questions were asked by the Commission:

1. Does the Town make the decision of the type of lights being installed?
 - a. The Town establishes the required lighting criteria, including spacing and illumination standards. The light fixtures themselves are standard designs provided by CORE Electric.
2. Is it standard for CORE to install the lights?
 - a. Yes. The Town operates under a franchise agreement with CORE Electric Cooperative, which allows CORE to construct, own, and maintain street lighting on Town. Most street lighting along major Town roadways is installed and maintained by CORE.
3. Who owns and maintains the lights?
 - a. CORE Electric owns and maintains the streetlights.
4. During installation if CORE damages the concrete who repairs/replaces the concrete?
 - a. The Town's roadway contractor and CORE's streetlight contractor will coordinate installation to minimize impacts and avoid rework. If Town

infrastructure is damaged during installation, it will be repaired or replaced by CORE.

A motion was made by Commissioner Rigel and seconded by Commissioner Reederl to approve the resolution Waiving the Town's Formal Written Bidding Requirement on the Basis of Sole Source and Approving an Agreement for Service with CORE Electric Cooperative, for the Crowfoot Valley Road Widening Project. Motion passed 6-0.

Yes: 6 - Wood, Chair DiDomenico, Commissioner Gray, Commissioner Reeder, Commissioner Rangel, and Commissioner Rucker

Not Present: 1 - Commissioner Novak

Informational / Discussion Items

[PWC 2026-021](#)

Project Updates

Dan Sailer, Public Works Director gave an overview of the current Public Works projects/programs which was provided to the Commission in their packet.

Town Council Liaison Comments

Councilperson Hollingshead updated the Commission staff of Council items.

Commissioner Comments / Questions

Adjourn

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 6:31 p.m.