## PLANNING COMMISSION COMMUNICATION MEMORANDUM

## TO: Chairman Goode and Members of the Planning Commission <br> FROM: Sam Bishop, Community Development Director <br> DATE: October 18, 2019 <br> SUBJECT: PUBLIC HEARING - RESOLUTION NO. 2019-PZ-R-01, AMENDING THE FUTURE LAND USE PLAN OF THE CITY OF CASTLE PINES COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

## REQUEST:

Planning Commission is asked to consider amendments to the Future Land Use Plan of City of Castle Pines Comprehensive Plan; specifically:

1. Re-designating the Land Use Category of land in the far northeast area of the City from Neighborhood Conservation/Open Space to Park (Canyons Planning Area 12 (PA12));
2. Re-designating the Land Use Category of land along the west side of the I-25 corridor: from MixedUse Neighborhood to Neighborhood Village (Lagae Ranch Planning Area 7 (PA7)); and
3. Re-designating the Land Use Category of land along the west side of the l-25 corridor from Park to Mixed-Use Community (Castle Pines Town Center Planning Area 12 (PA12)).


To support the proposed map amendments, corresponding amendments to the text and maps in the Comprehensive Plan may be needed for consistency with the amendments described in points 1, 2 and 3 above. It is anticipated the Parks, Recreation + Amenities Framework map (p. 28), Economic Development Framework map (p. 36), Housing Framework map (p. 44) and the Existing Land Use + Growth Areas map (p.54) will need to be amended as a result of the proposed amendment.

## DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND:

The City of Castle Pines Comprehensive Plan [Comp Plan] was adopted by Planning Commission and ratified by City Council in 2016. The Comp Plan was designed to have a 20 -year planning horizon and envisioned as an evolving document that can adapt to reflect changing Council priorities, new technologies, emerging trends and regional opportunities. One of the overall goals of the Comp Plan is to serve as an advisory document for guidance on zoning action, subdivision regulations, land use map and annexations. Staff is responsible for pursuing the implementation strategies and to review development proposals and inform decision-makers if such proposals align with the intentions of the Comprehensive Plan.

The Comp Plan requires a "Major Plan Update" at least once every five-years to reaffirm the Plans vision and direction with the public. 2021 will mark five years from the initial adoption date of 2016 . To meet this requirement, staff will begin a "Major Plan Update" in 2020. To that end, other types of amendments or updates are contemplated to occur within that timeframe by the Comp Plan, one of those being "Land Use Plan" amendments. These are amendments reserved for changes to land use designations or descriptions contained in the Land Use Plan. The Land Use Plan amendments before Planning Commission fall into this category and is subject to a "public process".

The Comp Plan being broad in vision and long range by nature, is subject to periodic amendments to reflect changing physical, social and economic conditions of the City and region. The amendments before Planning Commission are a result of proposed zoning changes. Amendments to the Future Land Use Plan are not considered zoning approvals and do not signify Planning Commissions support or opposition for rezoning requests. It is staff's opinion that future zoning approvals should be consistent with the Comp Plan, starting with the Future Land Use Plan. The current Future Land Use Plan exhibit may not be broad enough in scope to allow for other types of land uses to be considered through the rezoning process. The intent and nomenclature of the Future Land Use Plan will be evaluated in detail as part of the "Major Plan Update".

The three specific areas/parcels identified as proposed amendments are a result of planned development amendments, or rezoning applications; all three applications are in various stages of the consideration process. Staff has provided an overview of the amendments and what conditions have occurred to support the proposed changes:

| Land Use Plan Amendment Matrix |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Property <br> Description | Current Future Land Use <br> Classification: Defining <br> Characteristics | Proposed Future Land <br> Use Classification: <br> Defining <br> Characteristics | Changing Condition |
| 1. Canyons <br> Planning | Neighborhood <br> Conservation/Open <br> Space: | Park: | The proposed reclassification <br> would be considered a less intense |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Area } 12 \\ & \text { (PA12) } \end{aligned}$ | - Lowest residential densities and large lot areas with agriculture or equestrian uses <br> - Located near or adjacent to significant natural features or established open spaces <br> - Consisting of a variety of housing types, with detached single-family homes as primary type <br> - Single Family Detached Homes <br> - Agriculture <br> - Open Space <br> - Mixed-Use Trails <br> - Schools <br> - Fire Station | - Intended to provide both passive and active recreational and community environments <br> - Integrated into neighborhoods and connected to citywide trail system <br> - Provides space for private and community events <br> - Parks <br> - Multi-Use Trails <br> - Civic, Cultural and Arts Facilities <br> - Playgrounds <br> - Small-Scale Commercial/Food Service | use of the land. The subject area is envisioned as a regional preserve with associated amenities. The Canyons PD, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment necessitates the proposed change and provides for additional and unique parkland opportunities in the City. The Park classification is appropriate considering the adjacency to Reuter-Hess Reservoir and nearby residential development. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Lagae Ranch Planning Area 7 (PA7) | Mixed-Use Neighborhood: <br> - Provides a mix of supporting services and small-scale commercial for the surrounding neighborhoods <br> - Designed to complement the neighborhood's character and social activities <br> - Neighborhood-Serving Commercial and Retail <br> - Small-Scale Office <br> - Civic and Community Facilities | Neighborhood Village: <br> - Primarily residential in character, consisting of a variety of housing types <br> - Represents the largest residential component (geographically) of the City's Land Use Plan and the majority of newly developing neighborhoods <br> - Compatible with character of established neighborhoods <br> - Clustered development to maximize open | The proposed reclassification would be considered a less intense use of the land. The current designation does not support residential development while Neighborhood Village does. The Lagae Ranch PD, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment, necessitates the proposed change and is supported due to the subject parcels limited commercial viability. Market influences, approved rezonings (commercial to residential) and the potential for more diverse residential options in the Lagae Ranch Development support the reclassification. |


|  |  | space and the natural environment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3. Castle Pines Town Center Planning Area 12 (PA12) | Park: <br> - Intended to provide both passive and active recreational and community environments <br> - Integrated into neighborhoods and connected to city-wide trail system <br> - Provides space for private and community events <br> - Parks <br> - Multi-Use Trails <br> - Civic, Cultural and Arts Facilities <br> - Playgrounds <br> - Small-Scale Commercial/Food Service | Mixed-Use Community: <br> - Serves local and regional commercial, service and employment needs <br> - Sited at intersections of major arterials and Interstate 25, typically anchored by a grocery store, employment or civic uses <br> - Encourages integrated vertical and horizontal mixed use with multifamily residential <br> - Medium-Format Retail and Services (including grocery stores) <br> - Low and MediumRise Office <br> - Hotels <br> - Entertainment, Culture, and Arts <br> - Plazas and Parks <br> - Multifamily Housing <br> - Senior Housing | The proposed reclassification would be considered a more intense use of the land. The subject property was originally zoned in 2012 and allowed for mixed-use/commercial development. The subject property was subsequently rezoned to parkland in 2014 to account for additional residential development within the larger Castle Pines Town Center Planned Development. Since 2014, Elk Ridge Park, approximately $1 / 2$ mile away has transformed into a regional park and satisfies many of the resident's park needs. The City has acquired and rezoned property to account for additional parkland opportunities throughout the City. It is anticipated the 10-acre parcel directly west (currently a school site) will not be developed as a school and may have the potential to become a park which will serve as a local park amenity to the surrounding development. The current parkland designation does not seem to consistent with the long-range view of development that exists today and what is anticipated in the future. This parcel lends itself to additional residential development to support the commercial needs of the City. |

## STAFF ANALYSIS:

Amendments to the Comp Plan require that the plan amendment request shall be determined in accordance with the following criteria: (staff analysis in italics)

1. Does the amendment request implement, further, or is it otherwise consistent with, one or more of the goals, objectives, and strategies of the Comprehensive Plan?

The amendment request(s) implement, further and are other consistent with one or more of the goals, objectives and strategies of the Comprehensive Plan; more specifically:

- LU-1.1 Encourage compact or clustered development patterns that conserve and minimize the impact of development on natural resources, and ensures a natural buffer between communities.
- LU-2.1 Use design techniques and land use elements to provide compatibility between residential and non-residential uses and create a sense of community identity.
- LU-3.3 Blend the existing character of adjoining developments with the design of new developments to create a seamless and unified community.
-     - LU-3.4 Re-evaluate form, intensity, and mix of uses for all Planned Developments as plats are submitted, to allow for flexibility and creative high quality design solutions.
- H-3.1 Strengthen a mixed housing stock with a range of housing sizes and price points.
- H-3.2 Encourage the provision of primary or accessory housing types that facilitate aging in place.
- H-3.3 Support opportunities for high-quality attainable housing in proximity to activity centers, transportation and services.
- H-1.3 Preserve environmental and visual resources through clustered development patterns and open space standards.
- H-1.4 Transition the density of housing within Mixed-Use Community areas using the lower density range adjacent to existing residential neighborhoods. See Mixed-Use Category Table 3.1.
- PR-2.1 Expand the range of recreational facilities, programs, parks, paths, and open space accessible to residents of all ages, incomes and abilities.

2. Will the amendment request interfere with the existing or future land use patterns and/or densities of the surrounding neighborhood as depicted on the Land Use Plan Map and as contained within the Comprehensive Plan?

The amendment request(s) will not interfere with the existing or future land use patterns and/or densities of the surrounding neighborhood as depicted on the Land Use Plan Map.
3. Will the amendment request interfere with, prevent, or support the provision of any of the area's existing or planned community services or facilities, or other specific public or private actions contemplated within the Comprehensive Plan?

The amendment request(s) will not interfere with, prevent or support the provision of any of the area's existing or planned community services or facilities, or other specific public or private action actions contemplated within the Comprehensive Plan. It is noted that for the proposed reclassification from Park to Mixed-Use Community in Castle Pines Town Center (Planning Area 12) that additional parkland and recreation opportunities will become available as a result of the amendment. City staff is working with the Douglas County School District to convert the adjacent site to parkland and any future rezoning of PA12 will require parkland cash-in-lieu to offset the impact on parkland as a result of increased residential development.

## STAFF RECOMMEDNATION:

Staff recommends Planning Commission approve Resolution No. 2019-PZ-R-01,

## PROPOSED MOTION:

I MOVE TO APPROVE RESOLUTION NO. 2019-PZ-R-01, AMENDING THE FUTURE LAND USE PLAN OF
THE CITY OF CASTLE PINES COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.

## ATTACHMENT(S):

- Future Land Use Map Proposed Amendments


## FUTURE LAND USE PLAN
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Community Development Department-Staff Report

## PROJECT NAME:

PROJECT LOCATION:

OWNERIAPPLICANT:

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:
PUBLIC NOTICE:

## REPORT DATE:

PLANNING COMMISSION
HEARING DATE:

The Canyons Planned Development (PD), 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment, Case No. PDM19-001

The legal description is Parcel 3, Canyons Superblock Plat \#1 and includes changes to Planning Areas 10 and 12-19. The project area is generally located south of the Rueter-Hess Reservoir, east of the I-25 freeway, and north of Crowfoot Valley Road.

North Canyons LLLP
3033 East $1^{\text {st }}$ Avenue, Suite 725
Denver, CO 80206
The Applicant requests a Major Amendment to The Canyons PD. The project proposes:

- To allow up to 1,500 dwelling units in Planning Areas (PAs) 10 and 12-19;
- Dedicate 167.5 acres of parkland in Planning Area O;
- Dedicate 21.18 acres of school land; and,
- Minor modifications to PD development standards.

Approval with Conditions
Public notice of the proposed zoning amendment was published in the newspaper on October 9, 2019, mailed to abutting landowners on October 7, 2019 and posted on October 8, 2019. Legal requirements pursuant to Section(s) of the City of Castle Pines Zoning Code are satisfied.

October 18, 2019

October 18, 2019

## VICINITY MAP



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Applicant, North Canyons, LLLP, requests a major amendment to The Canyons Planned Development (PD) to allow an additional 1,500 dwelling units in Planning Areas (PAs) 10 and 12-19. The project also proposes the dedication of 167.5 acres of parkland in Planning Area O, the dedication of 21.18 acres of school land, and minor modifications to PD development standards. Pursuant to Section 1516.04 of the City of Castle Pines Zoning Code, an increase in the number of dwelling units in a planning area greater than $20 \%$ requires a major amendment to the Planned Development. .

The minor modifications to the PD development standards include the following:

- Sections 3.1 and 3.2: Planning Area 12 is removed from the "Rural Residential 1 unit/20 acre" category and is added to the "Residential" category.
- Section 3.2.3.8: K-8 schools are omitted as a permitted Use by Special Review in PA 8, 14, and 18 and a school use is added as a Use by Special Review in PA13 and PA14.
- Section 3.5: Planning Area "O" is added within the Open Space - Limited category. Open Space - Limited is being updated to allow a visitors/nature center and special events, while golf uses are removed from this land use category.
- Section 4.2.2.f. Roadways: The Mixed Use Lane road classification is revised from requiring onstreet parallel parking to allowing on street parallel parking, "shall" became "may".
- Section 4.2.3.c.: Note c. is added clarifying that curb trench drains are not required for Collector and Boulevard sections.
- Section 4.2.4.a.: Note a. is amended clarifying that sidewalks or trails may not be required on both sides of the street where adjacent to open space within Mixed Use and Residential land uses.
- Section 4.2 Roadway Design and Technical Criterial: Revisions to chart include:
o Right of Way Maximum becomes just Right of Way.
o Lane Width Maximum becomes Lane Width Minimum.
o Sidewalks or Multi Use Trails along Residential Streets Type A, N, R and S may be either attached or detached, rather than attached only. Foot note 12 is added clarifying that Sidewalks adjacent to Open Space may be attached.
o Approach Centerline grade for Intersections of a Residential Mixed Use Lane to a Collector is revised to be 100' at 4\%, consistent with the rest of the Mixed Use Lane intersection grades. Footnote (7) is revised to allow an optional landscaped median island within a cul-de-sac whose radius exceeds 40'.

The project also requires amendments to the Canyons Annexation and Development Agreement (ADA) to address commitments (school land, parks, traffic improvements, etc.), memorialization of up to 75 units, or $5 \%$, of the total units being attainable workforce housing, Metro District mill levy share back to offset the City's costs associated with the operation and maintenance of open space within the project and Vesting. The ADA and Vesting applications are not under the purview of the Planning Commission and will be considered by the City Council.

## STAFF ANALYSIS

## Background

The Canyons is a 3,343-acre Planned Development (PD) that was approved in 2009 and is comprised of 19 Planning Areas. The PD allows for a mix of land uses including single-family and multi-family residences, parks, open space, trails, schools, civic uses, community uses, commercial uses, retail uses, and agricultural uses (See Exhibit E).

The Canyons PD originally permitted a maximum of 2,500 dwelling units. In 2018, Case No. ZR18-002, The Canyons PD $1^{\text {st }}$ Major Amendment, approved an additional 1,000 multi-family dwelling units in PAs 1,2 , and 3 , bringing the total approved dwelling units in the PD to 3,500 . The $1^{\text {st }}$ PD Amendment also provided additional commitments for land for schools, parks, and civic uses related to the corresponding increase in residential density. PAs 12-19 are located along the western portion of the Canyons PD and are surrounded by the following land uses and zoning designations:

|  | North | South | East | West |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Adjacent <br> Land Uses | Vacant land, open <br> space, Rueter-Hess <br> Reservoir | Single-family <br> residences | Low-density single- <br> family residences <br> and vacant, <br> undeveloped land | The Canyons, <br> currently in <br> development with <br> single family <br> residences |
| Adjacent <br> Zoning <br> Designation | A1-Agricultural 1 <br> (Douglas County) | ER-Estate <br> Residential <br> (Douglas County) | A1-Agricultural 1 <br> (Douglas County) | Planned <br> Development <br> District - The <br> Canyons |

## Public Agency Comments

Staff sent a total of thirty-one (31) referral letters to agencies, districts, and community groups. The City received 12 responses (attached as Exhibit B), and of the 12 responses, only eight (8) had substantive comments, as summarized below:

- Centennial Airport (Arapahoe County Public Airport Authority): The comment letter expressed concern that the proposed development lies directly under the Instrument Landing System (ILS) approach to the Airport's main runway on elevated terrain, and therefore the Authority believes an aviation easement be executed for the entire development. Further comments recommend interior noise attenuation standards, and outlines requirements for map easements and for federal requirements for objects on the property that penetrate a 100:1 slope.
- Cherry Creek Basin Water Quality Authority: The comment letter requires construction and postconstruction Best Management Practices (BMPs) for areas under their authority. The letter also requested further information on disturbance within mapped floodplains and on Grading and Erosion Control Plans.
- Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT): The comment letter(s) provided by CDOT reiterates their continued concern which regards the capacity of the existing interchanges to the interstate and the steps needed to upgrade them to serve traffic generated by development east of the interstate as well as existing "background" traffic. CDOT restates that the development cannot expect to connect or make improvements to the interchanges without an Interstate Access Request (IAR) which is a federal requirement. An IAR is typically supported by a system level study that examines the ramifications and acceptable mitigation.
o Subsequent to receiving CDOT referral comments, City Staff met with CDOT and Douglas County Public Works representatives to discuss their comments and concerns. In short, City Staff is in full agreement that the development must pay its proportionate share of improvements associated with the traffic generated by the development. Staff's position is fulfilled in the form of a written commitment in the amended Annexation and Development Agreement where the developer (or future property owner(s)) will be required to pay their proportionate share which includes ALL traffic generated from within the development i.e. school, residential, park, and commercial traffic. City Staff is not in support of the applicant, owner, or future owners paying for off-site "background" traffic not generated from within the development. The future Happy Canyon Rd/Interstate-25 interchange improvements will be determined through an IAR and 1601 process which requires the participation of both the county and city. All parties creating traffic impacts will be required to pay a proportionate share of the improvements. Until this study is complete and accepted by CDOT and FHWA it is unknown what the needed improvements are and the associated costs.
- Douglas County Department of Community Development: The comment letter states that due to the increased level of development along the eastern edge of the project area that abuts County land that is sparsely developed, there is an increased chance for greater visual impacts on County residents in the area. As such, the comment letter discussed opportunities for utilizing open space areas, parks, trails and other design features to soften visual impacts.
- Douglas County School District (DCSD): The comment letter requested a revision of the Douglas County School dedication figure to be 31.96 acres, rather than the originally proposed dedication of 9.86 acres, according to their calculations corresponding to the addition of 1500 units within the development. The letter requested the City enter into an MOU with the District addressing
how the educational needs of the Canyons can be met through additional future land dedication, cash-in-lieu, or a combination, addition of schools as a use by right within all planning areas, and City codification of student generation and land dedication requirements used by DCSD, along with development monitoring and milestones to ensure adequate land is available for school purposes.
o Prior to the DCSD providing a referral response, City Staff met with representatives of DCSD to discuss the pending Boundary and Capacity Study for all schools within the District (anticipated completion date of 1Q 2020), the need for a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) to address the education needs/facilitates in the Canyons and throughout Castle Pines and systematization of student generation and school land dedication requirements used by DCSD and the City. Staff and the DCSD agree that an MOU would be appropriate to address student generation and associated land dedication methodology and coordinating the future timing, location and acreage of school sites in the Canyons. It is anticipated DCSD will have high school and middle school facility needs within the Canyons development. The applicant has revised the originally proposed land dedication of 9.86 acres to 21.18 acres to accommodate this need. This brings the total school land dedication in the Canyons to 79 acres ${ }^{1}$. DCSD is in agreement that 79 acres is sufficient to accommodate multiple school sites and a variety of school types i.e. K-8, combined middle/high school, flagship high school site, etc. Further, DCSD is in agreement on limiting the total number of students that would attend any combination of middle/high school within the Canyons to 3100 students. One of City Staff's concerns with increased school land dedications is associated traffic and the required improvements to mitigate impacts which will degrade the neighborhood feel of the development. This concern can be addressed by limiting the overall student population within the development. DCSD supports the application based upon the above-mentioned MOU being executed between the City and Board of Education.
- Parker Water and Sanitation District: The District provided a will-serve letter for the provision of water and sanitary services for the proposed project.
- Town of Castle Rock Development Services Department: The comment letter addressed fire, floodplain, and traffic issues. The Castle Rock Fire Protection District and Fire Department confirmed the provision of services to the project area. The floodplain comments requested further delineation of existing floodplain limits and additional mitigation measures for Lemon Gulch to reduce downstream impacts in Castle Rock. The comment letter outlines several traffic concerns, summarized as follows:
o The TIS Addendum should use the County's 2040 forecasts to identify needed traffic improvements;
o Additional information was requested on impacts to numerous roads and intersections based on the increased population that would result from the project. The current County Transportation Master Plan does not account for the increase in population, and the City requested that the traffic analysis provide comments on the potential impacts of the project.
- Douglas County Department of Public Works Engineering: The comment letter focused on potential impacts to the Happy Canyon / I-25 interchange. The County requests an analysis and discussion of the costs that will be associated with the improvements required at this interchange as a result of the Canyons development and the proposed amendments. The comment letter also

[^0]made specific comments on the Traffic Study with questions for specific streets and intersections that the County believes will be impacted by the Project.

## Traffic Impacts

A Traffic Impact Study was prepared to serve as an addendum to the North Canyons TIS. This TIS Addendum analyzed long-term (year 2040) background and total traffic conditions associated with a proposed 2nd PD Amendment and a potential 2,500-student high school. This analysis also assessed the potential traffic operations of Canyonside Boulevard, a future north-south arterial paralleling I-25 between Hess Road and Crowfoot Valley Road.

The analysis intersections include those that were evaluated as a part of the original TIS plus four additional intersections that were added to determine the impact of the 2nd PD Amendment development on the roadway network south of Happy Canyon Road. The TIS evaluated the Level of Service (LOS) for the following intersections and evaluated improvements required to accommodate background traffic growth and vehicle-trips generated by the development:

1. Castle Pines Parkway \& Lagae Road
2. Castle Pines Parkway \& Charter Oaks Drive/Village Square Drive
3. Castle Pines Parkway \& Debbie Lane
4. Castle Pines Parkway \& I-25 Southbound Ramps
5. Castle Pines Parkway \& I-25 Northbound Ramps
6. Castle Pines Parkway/Hess Road \& Havana Street
7. Happy Canyon Road \& I-25 Southbound Ramps
8. Happy Canyon Road \& I-25 Northbound Ramps
9. Hess Road \& Canyonside Boulevard/PA-1 Access
10. Canyonside Boulevard \& Canyon Forge Drive/PA-2 East Access
11. Hess Road \& Cross Canyon Trail
12. Happy Canyon Road \& Canyonside Boulevard
13. Hess Road \& PA-2 North Access
14. Canyonside Boulevard \& PA-2 South Access / PA-3 South Access
15. Hess Road \& PA-3 North Access
16. Crowfoot Valley Road \& Sapphire Pointe Boulevard
17. Crowfoot Valley Road \& Canyonside Boulevard / Macanta Drive
18. Canyonside Boulevard \& PA-13 Access
19. Canyonside Boulevard \& PA-14 South Access / PA-15 South Access

For the 2040 background traffic conditions, the TIS projects that the intersections will operate at an acceptable overall LOS with the inclusion of a few improvements. The following lists the recommended improvements that are in addition to those agreed to for the Canyons development in the original TIS to provide acceptable operations for background traffic in 2040:

- Provide a third westbound through lane at the Castle Pines Parkway/Hess Road intersection with Havana Street.
- Signalize the intersection of Sapphire Point Boulevard and Crowfoot Valley Road.
- Signalize the intersection of Canyonside Boulevard/Macanta Drive and Crowfoot Valley Road.
- Provide two through lanes in each direction and a dedicated eastbound left-turn lane at the Sapphire Point Boulevard intersection.
- Provide separate southbound left- and right-turn lanes at the Sapphire Point Boulevard intersection with Crowfoot Valley Road.
- Provide two through lanes in each direction and dedicated eastbound and westbound left-turn lanes at the Canyonside Boulevard/Macanta Drive intersection with Crowfoot Valley Road.
- Provide dedicated left, through and right-turn lanes for the northbound and southbound approaches at the Canyonside Boulevard/Macanta Drive intersection with Crowfoot Valley Road.

The proposed Canyons $2^{\text {nd }}$ PD Amendment would generate about 16,230 daily external vehicle-trips, with approximately 1,140 external trips during the AM peak hour ( $31 \%$ in, $69 \%$ out), and 1,200 external trips during the PM peak hour ( $63 \%$ in, $37 \%$ out). With the recommended background improvements in place and a few improvements to accommodate these additional volumes, the TIS projects that most intersections will operate at an acceptable LOS in the 2040 total traffic condition. The exceptions are the Happy Canyon and l-25 ramp intersections. Although the TIS does offer suggestions for lane configurations that could mitigate poor LOS at these intersections, the recommendations suggest the Interstate Access Request (IAR) study re-evaluate intersection operations once the interchange configuration is chosen.

The TIS recommends the following improvements to provide acceptable intersection LOS in the 2040 total traffic conditions:

- Provide a continuous acceleration/deceleration lane on southbound Canyonside Boulevard between Hess Road and Canyon Forge Drive. The lane would be terminated as the southbound right-turn lane at Canyon Forge Drive.
- Provide a dedicated northbound right-turn lane at Canyonside Boulevard and Canyon Forge Drive.
- Provide channelization for the eastbound right-turn lane at the Happy Canyon Road and Canyonside Boulevard intersection. Provide an acceleration lane on southbound Canyonside Boulevard to receive this free-flowing right-turn movement.
- Provide the following for the PA-13 access intersection with Canyonside Boulevard:
o Signalization
o Southbound approach: two through lanes and one dedicated left-turn lane
o Northbound approach: two through lanes and one dedicated right-turn lane
o Westbound approach: dedicated left- and right-turn lanes
- Monitor the LOS performance of the PA-14 / PA-15 access intersection with Canyonside Boulevard once it is operational to determine when the intersection will meet warrants for signalization.

Based on the assumed location and size of the potential high school, the TIS recommends the following additional improvements to accommodate the trips generated by the high school should these assumptions remain valid:

- Provide a second southbound through lane at the Hess Road and Canyonside Boulevard intersection.
- Provide a single left-turn lane, two dedicated through lanes, and a shared through/right-turn lane for the northbound approach at Canyonside Boulevard and Canyon Forge Drive intersection.
- Provide a second southbound left-turn lane at the Crowfoot Valley Road and Canyonside Boulevard/Macanta Drive intersection.

In summary, the proposed project with the recommended improvements will not cause any of the existing roadways and intersections, or any future roadways and intersections, to operate below a Level of Service D if the recommended background improvements are in place and the selected Happy Canyon interchange configuration is appropriate to accommodate projected volumes.

## Land Use

The project proposes an amendment to The Canyons PD to allow an additional 1,500 dwelling units in Planning Areas (PAs) 10 and 12-19, which would bring the total number of allowed dwelling units in The

Canyons to 5,000. The project also proposes the dedication of 167.5 acres of parkland in Planning Area O, the dedication of 21.18 acres of school land, and minor modifications to PD development standards.

The Canyons PD was approved in 2009 for 2,500 dwelling units on 3,342 acres. The intent of the PD was to offer a community with a variety of housing choices in connected neighborhoods with integrated open space areas and mixed use commercial zoning districts. The purpose of this PD Amendment is to increase the number of allowed units in the development in order to expand the local population base, increase housing diversity, and support the City's goal for obtaining a thriving economic base. Furthermore, the amendment provides for additional park land and school dedications to provide additional amenities to the community. The project proposes the development of 1,500 units on 1,441 acres, which amounts to an overall density of 1.04 dwelling units per acre ( $1.04 \mathrm{du} / \mathrm{ac}$ ). Should the proposed PD amendment pass, the resulting overall density of the development would be 1.50 du/ac ( $5000 \mathrm{du} / 3342$ acres)

The following table represents the land use designation for the Planning Areas included in this amendment, the acreage and permitted uses, and the proposed future land use for each planning area.

| Planning <br> Area (PA) | Land Use Type | Acreage | Permitted Uses | Proposed with <br> Amendment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PA 10 | Residential <br> Rural | 240 | Primarily residential, <br> minimum of 1 du/20 ac | 4 du |
| PA 12 | Residential | 66.4 | Primarily residential | Minimum of 150 units |
| PA 13 | Residential | 250.9 | Primarily residential | Minimum of 370 units |
| PA 14 | Residential | 192 | Primarily residential | Minimum of 300 units |
| PA 15 | Residential | 36.4 | Primarily residential | Minimum of 60 units |
| PA 16 | Residential | 34.9 | Primarily residential | Minimum of 50 units |
| PA 17 | Residential | 28.8 | Primarily residential | Minimum of 80 units |
| PA 18 | Residential | 22.9 | Primarily residential | Minimum of 90 units |
| PA 19 | Mixed Use | 30.7 | Mix of non-residential and <br> residential usesMinimum of <br> 90,000 sf max commercial |  |
| PA O | Open Space <br> Limited | 167.6 | Open space limited uses per PD | Add visitors/nature center <br> and special events |
| PA I, L, M, N | Open Space <br> Active/Limited | Remaining <br> acreage | Open Space active/limited uses <br> per PD | Remove Golf Course from <br> Open Space Limited |

The $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment requires that the PA's have a minimum number of dwelling units which assures a relatively even distribution of development throughout the various PA's. The parkland formula set forth in the City's Subdivision Ordinance is based on 15 acres/1000 population. The proposal requests 1500 dwelling units resulting in a parkland dedication of 67.5 acres. The applicant proposes a park land dedication of 167.6 acres. The applicant has committed to an additional 21.18 acres of school land for a total dedication within the Canyons development of 79 acres.

There are no proposed changes to the development standards established in The Canyons Planned Development (2009) which set forth required lot sizes, setbacks, building heights, etc. for the development of the property, nor are the development standards subject to modification with this PD amendment.

## Comprehensive Master Plan Analysis

Staff believes the project is well-supported by the City's Comprehensive Master Plan as follows:
Land Use: The Comprehensive Plan promotes concentrating growth in specified areas to allow the City to increase its population but still preserving the natural character of the land. The additional 1,500 units proposed in this project are proposed for areas that are already planned for residential development. Additional attention has been made to maintain the natural terrain of the project area and include trails and open space connectivity throughout the planned neighborhoods. The proposed units are also The Canyons Planned Development 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Major Amendment - PDM19-001
important components for obtaining a thriving economic base to support future commercial and/or office development in the City. Comprehensive Plan land use goals and objectives that support the project are as follows:

- Goal LU-1: Design development to complement both the natural and man-made landscape.
- LU-1: Encourage compact or clustered development patterns that conserve and minimize the impact of development on natural resources and ensures a natural buffer between communities.
- LU-2.3: Promote economic development and employment opportunities in proximity to multifamily housing.
- LU-2.4: Promote development patterns and community design that meet the needs of residents as they age.
- LU-4.1: Balance development with preservation of environmental and visual resources.
- LU-4.2: Support the establishment and enhancement of community separators by creating open space and landscaped buffers, help screen views, and provide wildlife habitat.

Parks and Recreation: The Comprehensive Plan identifies parks and recreation resources as a significant attribute for the City and promotes the provision of these resources to support healthy lifestyles and families. The Canyons development prioritizes trails and open spaces, and development is clustered so that there is ample open spaces, parks, and trails that are interwoven throughout the planned development. The $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment proposes the dedication of 167.5 acres of parkland in Planning Area O , which is envisioned to be a preserved natural area with limited development and is focused on services and amenities to support the naturally preserved landscape. Comprehensive Plan parks and recreation goals and objectives that support the project are as follows:

- Goal PR-1: Provide recreation, community, and educational facilities that serve the City's population and support a healthy and active community.
- Goal PR-2: Provide areas for open space, parks, trails, and wildlife corridors in all new developments that are accessible to all residents.
- PR-2.2: Demonstrate adequate provision of neighborhood and community parks, trails, and recreational facilities in all new residential development.
- PR-2.4: Conserve wildlife habitats, wildlife movement corridors, and habitat linkages through the provision of significant open space.

Economic Development: The Comprehensive Plan identifies the need for the City to build and diversify its economic base in order to better utilize the populations high household income levels. Additionally, community residents continually highlight the need for more retail, restaurant and offices in Castle Pines. The Canyons development includes 2.1 million square feet of commercial/office development in two separate locations. The area included in this amendment includes a xx-square foot area designated as mixed-use development with 90,000 square foot maximum commercial development. The additional housing units proposed in this amendment are important to build a viable and robust population base to support future commercial and office development in the City. Comprehensive Plan economic development goals and objectives that support the project are as follows:

- Goal ED-1: Foster a robust and resilient economy.
- ED-1.6: Encourage diverse forms of office, employment, and commercial land uses.
- ED-2.1: Encourage economic development activity by promoting higher densities and a mix of use within commercial centers.

Housing: The Comprehensive Plan encourages a diversity of price-points, housing types and ownership options to support affordability for residents. Additionally, the Plan supports a diversity of housing options so that Castle Pines can be a livable community for all families and for people of all ages. The project proposes 1,500 units including single-family, single-family attached, and multi-family residences. Comprehensive Plan housing goals and objectives that support the project are as follows:

- Goal H-2: Provide a balance of diverse, high-quality housing that incorporates a range of type, design, and density and appeals to families, singles, and seniors.
- Goal H-3: Support housing that meets the financial needs of current and future residents.
- H-3.1: Strengthen a mixed housing stock with a range of housing sizes and price points.
- H-3.3: Support opportunities for high-quality attainable housing in proximity to activity centers, transportation and services.


## Dedications

The application has satisfied park and school land dedications for the proposal. No additional land dedications are required as a result of the proposed project.

## Zoning Resolution, Approval Criteria-Planned Development Major Amendment

Major Amendments to Planned Developments require the Planning Commission to consider the approval criteria set forth in Section 1517.09 of the City of Castle Pines Zoning Ordinance. Staff has identified each of the criteria followed by a staff finding. However, it is the responsibility of the Applicant to justify the request for a Major Amendment to the Planned Development.

## §1517.09 Approval Criteria for approval of a Major Amendment to a Planned Development:

§1517.09.1 - Whether the amendment is consistent with the development standards, commitments, and overall intent of the planned development.

The Canyons PD was adopted in 2009 with a vision to provide a diversity of housing units in a clustered pattern that protects the natural terrain of the land, and to build a vibrant community that can support an economically diverse commercial/office area. The project proposes to add 1,500 dwelling units to Planning Areas 10, 12-19, which were previously designated for residential uses in the 2009 planned development approval. The proposed project also upholds all previous commitments and makes proportional additional commitments including providing 21.18 acres for a school site, an additional 167 acres of park land, up to 75 units, or $5 \%$, of the total units being attainable workforce housing and a mill levy share-back with the City to support service to the subject property. Therefore, staff believes the project is consistent with the development standards, commitments, and overall intent of The Canyons Planned Development.
§1517.09.2 - Whether the amendment is consistent with the intent, efficient development and preservation of the entire planned development.

The proposed project is consistent with the intent, efficient development and preservation of the Canyons PD because the 2009 PD approval ensured the provision of open space, promoted a development pattern that is sensitive to the natural land form, ensured the adequacy of public facilities and mixed housing types, and encouraged dwellings with a range of affordability, and the amended project provides additional attributes to meet this intent, such as an increased mix of housing types and affordable units. The enlargement of the City population base can also accelerate commercial and retail development in The Canyons, which contributes to a sound economic base.

## §1517.09.3 - Whether the amendment will adversely affect the public interest or enjoyment of the adjacent land.

The amendment will not adversely affect the public interest or enjoyment of the adjacent lands because the project's proposed additional units are consistent with the existing land use designation for the project area, and the project is well-designed and focuses on buffering residential uses from
adjacent lands. The Canyons PD was approved in 2009 and Planning Areas 10, 12-19 have always been designated for residential uses. In addition, the planning areas were designed to cluster residential development so that ample park space, open space, and trails buffer neighborhoods from adjacent uses. The project includes a thorough analysis of potential impacts to the City and region. A Traffic Study addendum was provided that examines the proposed project and sets forth recommended improvements so that none of the existing roadways and intersections, or any future roadways and intersections, operate below a Level of Service D. As development progresses, the applicant will be required to design, fund and construct the recommended improvements to accommodate projected volumes. The PD requires all necessary infrastructure to be implemented by the developers in The Canyons, and the applicant is proposing a mill levy share-back to support the provision of City services

## §1517.09.4 - Whether the amendments sole purpose is to confer a special benefit upon an individual.

The proposed amendment does not solely confer a special benefit upon an individual. The addition of 1,500 units to The Canyons PD furthers the City's goals for increasing the resident population, building a stable economic base, and providing more housing diversity to the community.

S1517.09.5 - For applications proposing an increase in the intensity of allowed land-uses,
including changes in densities, whether the amendment is consistent with the water supply
standards in Section 18A, Water Supply Overlay District, of this Ordinance.
The proposed amendment is consistent with the water supply standards found in Section 18A, Water Supply Overlay District, of the City's Zoning Ordinance. The entirety of The Canyons development is included in the Parker Water and Sanitation District (PWSD). PWSD has reviewed the proposed amendment and has provided a will-serve letter stating they can provide services to the project area.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Based upon findings herein, Staff recommends that Planning Commission recommend approval of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Major Amendment to The Canyons Planned Development, subject to the recommended conditions of approval.

## SUGGESTED MOTION FOR APPROVAL:

I move to recommend approval of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Major Amendment to The Canyons Planned Development, Case No. PDM19-001, subject to the following conditions of approval found in the Staff Report, dated October 18, 2019:

1. The Applicant shall pay ALL fees and costs incurred by the City and its consultants, in review and processing of the application prior to recordation; AND
2. The Applicant shall resolve/correct any minor technical issues as directed by staff prior to recordation of the Planned Development Major Amendment; AND
3. [Planning Commission may insert additional conditions in order to mitigate the impacts of development]

## SUGGESTED MOTION FOR DENIAL²:

[^1]I move to recommend denial of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Major Amendment to the Canyons Planned Development Plan, Case No. PDM19-001, based on the following findings of fact:

1. The application does not meet the approval criteria set forth for Major Amendment for Planned Developments in Section 15 - Planned Development District of the City of Castle Pines Zoning Ordinance; specifically, subsection 1517.09 in that: (identify specific criteria not met by the application)

## EXHIBITS:

A. Application Materials
a. Land Use Application
b. Project Narrative
c. Canyons Planned Development, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment Exhibit
B. Referral Agency List and Response Summary
C. Applicant's Response to Agency Comments
D. Parker Water and Sewer Will Serve Letter
E. Existing Canyons Planned Development (circa 2009)
A. Application Materials
a. Land Use Application
b. Project Narrative
c. Canyons Planned Development, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment Exhibit

| OFFICE USE ONLY |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TYPE OF REQUEST: | SUBMITTAL DATE |  |
| PROJECT NAME: | STAFF InITIALS: |  |
| CASE NO: |  |  |
| FEES COLLECTED: |  |  |

## SECTION 1—Brief DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL

Application for The Canyons Planned Development 2nd Amendment to increase allowable dwelling units in
Planning Areas 12-19 by 1500 units, increase PLD by 167.5 acres and school dedication by 31.86 acres.

SECTION 2-PROPERTY INFORMATION—Property Information required below can be found on the Douglas County Assessor website at www.douglas.co.us/assessor/. Please print a copy of the Assessor's page and submit it with your presubmittal review request. 2351-121-01-001 $2351-241-01-001 \quad$ 2351-134-01-002 $2351-014-01-001$
State Parcel Number (SPN): 2351-124-01-001 2351-134-01-001 Parcel Size: +/-1441 acres total
Legal Description: Parcel 3 The Canyons Superblock Plat \#1
Site Address:Crowfoot Valley Road

## SECTION 3-ZONING AND LAND USE INFORMATION

Existing Zoning: The Canyons PD Proposed Zoning:The Canyons PD 2nd Amendment
Zoning of Surrounding Properties: $(\mathrm{N})$ Open Space _(S) Residential $\quad$ (E) Residential $\quad(\mathrm{W}) \xrightarrow{\text { Residential }}$
Existing Land Use: Agricultural
Proposed Land Use: Residential and Mixed Use

## SECTION 4-PROPERTY OWNER INFORMATION

Property Owner: North Canyons LLLP, by Leland J. Alpert, Manager, Alpert Canyons LLLC
Address: 3033 East 1st Avenue, Suite 725, Denver CO 80206
Phone: 720-624-1699 Fax:___Email:leealpert@msn.com

## SECTION 5-AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION (requires notarized letter of authorization if other than owner)

Property Owner / Developer / Engineer / Attorney / Other (Circle One):
Contact Person/Company Info: Leland J. Alpert, Owner, and Mary Hart, Project Coordinator
Address: 3033 East 1st Avenue, Suite 725, Denver CO 80206
Phone: 720-624-1699 Fax: Email: mhart@alpertcorp.com

Section 6-Special District Information-Please list the districts that will be affected by the proposal (attach any letters):

Water District: Parker Water and Sanitation District

## Sanitation District: Parker Water and Sanitation District

## School District: Douglas County School District <br> Library District: Douglas Public Library District

Fire District: Castle Rock Fire Protection District and South Metro Fire Protection District
Other Districts: Canyons Metro District, Douglas County Sheriff Dept.

## SECTION 4-CERTIFICATION

To the best of my knowledge, this Land Use Application is correct and complete. I have read and understand the City's information sheet regarding the Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse.

## Applicant Signature:



Date: 6.11.19

## PREBLE'S MEADOW JUMPING MOUSE

What is the Prebles' meadow jumping mouse?
The Preble's meadow jumping mouse is a rare mouse designated by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service as a "threatened species" under the Endangered Species Act. The federal threatened species designation prohibits the unlawful "take" of the Preble's meadow jumping mouse or its habitat.


#### Abstract

Where does the mouse live? The Preble's meadow jumping mouse lives primarily in heavily vegetated riparian habitats. In Douglas County, the mouse has been located in or near many drainage areas, including tributaries and the main stream reaches, of East and West Plum Creek. However, any stream reach or potential habitat within Douglas County may be subject to the requirements of the Endangered Species Act. The mouse has also been found in Boulder, Elbert, El Paso, Jefferson and Larimer counties and in parts of Wyoming.

What activities may be considered a violation of the Endangered Species Act? In its listing decision, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service identified activities that may result in violation of the Endangered Species Act to include: 1. Unauthorized or unpermitted collection, handling, harassing, or taking of the species; 2. Activities that directly or indirectly result in the actual death or injury death of the mouse, or that modify the known habitat of the species, thereby significantly modifying essential behavioral patterns (e.g., plowing, mowing, or cutting; conversion of wet meadow or riparian habitats to residential, commercial, industrial, recreational areas, or cropland; overgrazing; road and trail construction; water development or impoundment; mineral extraction or processing; off-highway vehicle use; and, hazardous material cleanup or bioremediation); and 3. The application or discharge of agrichemicals, or other pollutants, and pesticides, onto plants, soil, ground water, or other surfaces in violation of label directions or any use following Service notification that such use, application or discharge is likely to harm the species; would be evidence of unauthorized use, application or discharge.


## How to determine if a proposed activity would violate the Endangered Species Act.

Any questions regarding whether an activity will impact the Preble's meadow jumping mouse or its habitat should be directed to:
Peter Plage or Adam Misztal
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
United States Fish and Wildlife Service
Ecological Services
Colorado Field Office
P.O.Box 25486, DFC (MS 65412)

Denver, CO 80225-0486
303-236-4773
Where to find a licensed Wildlife Consultant to perform Preble's Surveys.
A current list of wildlife consultants licensed to perform Preble's surveys may be found on the US Fish and Wildlife Service website at:
http://mountain-prairie.fws.gov/preble/ RELATED_ACTIONS/consultants.htm

## ANY APPROVAL GIVEN BY THE CITY OF CASTLE PINES DOES NOT OBVIATE THE NEED TO COMPLY WITH APPLICABLE FEDERAL, STATE, OR LOCAL LAWS AND/OR REGULATIONS.

## Canyons PD 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment Project Summary (PD\#2)

1507.01 Landowner/Applicant

North Canyons, LLLP
3033 East First Avenue, Suite 725
Denver, CO 80206
Contact: Leland J. Alpert, Manager, Alpert Canyons LLC
Representative
Mary Hart, Project Coordinator on behalf of NCLLLP
3033 East First Avenue, Suite 725
Denver, CO 80206

## Mineral Rights Owners

Portions of Parcel 3 SB Plat \#1 - North Canyons LLLP

- Wm White
- Resurrection Partners 336 LP
- Federal Land Bank of Wichita, NCLLLP


## Water Rights Owner <br> Parker Water and Sanitation District

### 1507.02 General Project Concept

The Canyons PD was approved in 2009 on a 3,342-acre property in Castle Pines for 2,500 dwelling units and 2.1 million square feet of mixed use. The community was envisioned to offer a spectrum of housing choices in connected neighborhoods that are integrated into natural open spaces to accommodate residents in different seasons of life. Approximately 1,709 acres of the site is devoted to limited, active, and private open space.

This application for the $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment to the Canyons Planned Development (PD), requests the addition of 1,500 dwelling units in Planning Areas (PA) 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 and assigning 90,000 square feet of the project's mixed use commercial zoning to PA 19. Public land dedications for parks and schools are being increased corresponding to the increase of units. Of note, the 31.86-acre dedication for schools in this amendment will, in combination with prior dedication requirements, bring the total school acreage dedication within the Canyons to exceed Douglas County School District's requirement of 50+ acres to accommodate a high school. Accordingly, land uses allowed in PA13 have been updated to allow a high school. Park dedication requirements (PLD) for this amendment amount to 67.5 acres. The proposed PLD for this amendment significantly exceeds this requirement at over 167 acres. A few minor technical elements such as engineering criteria are proposed for adjustment. All other major elements of the prior PD approval remain unchanged.

The purpose and need for this PD Amendment are to accommodate and expand the local population base to deliver the City's vision of livable, connected neighborhoods as a direct response to the City's interest in realizing economic vitality and housing diversity. Increased connectivity is accomplished by this amendment with the extension of Canyonside Boulevard to Crowfoot Valley Road, along with continuation of a robust open space and trails system. This amendment also supports the community goal of locating a high school in Castle Pines. Further,
a new significant public park dedication is being provided in the northeast corner of the property which the City can develop into a future "Castle Pines Preserve".

In 2015, North Canyons LLLP sold 1,270 acres and 2,000 units to homebuilder Shea Canyons, who has commenced construction on 1,000 dwelling units in Phase 1 of their development. The allocation of 2,000 dwelling units to Shea Canyons was required to support the amount of infrastructure required for the site. As a result, 500 dwellings units remain from the 2009 approved Canyons PD that could be used on the remaining NCLLLP property, including PAs 12-19 and a portion of PA10. PD Amendment \#1 was approved in 2019 to allow an additional 1000 multifamily units in PA 1-3. Approval of this $2^{\text {nd }}$ PD Amendment will allow Canyons to further diversify housing options, adding townhomes and attached units to its offerings, and provide the land and density to justify a site for a long-desired high school for the community.

## Project Description

The legal description of the property proposed for the 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ PD Amendment is Parcel 3, Canyons Superblock Plat \#1 which consists of 1440.949 acres total. PD Planning Areas included in the amendment are PAs 12-19 and a portion of PA 10. Combined, these nine areas consist of 802.2 developable acres. Planning areas impacted by the amendment are PAs 12-19. Other than the new public park, there is no change proposed to the approved exterior residential Planning Area boundaries; ie: there is no expansion of residential development area. The boundary of PA12/13 has moved north and the remaining area of PA12 has been converted to Open Space Limited to support its public dedication as a park.

| Amendment Summary | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ PD | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Amendment | $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total land area | 3,343 acres | 597.5 acres | 1441 acres |
| Proposed lots/du | 2500 units | 1000 units | 1500 units |
| Total number of lots/du | 2,500 units | 3,500 units | 5,000 units |
| Overall density | .75 du/acre | 0.96 du/acre | 1.50 du/acre |
| Total land area as open space | $+/-1709$ acres | $+/-1709$ acres | $+/-1709$ acres |
| Park land dedication | 112.5 acres | 45 acres additional | 167.5 acres additional |
| School land dedication | 49 acres | 8.82 acres additional | 31.86 acres additional |

The following vicinity map shows The Canyons site and all adjacent properties.


### 1507.03 Proposed Development Staging \& Time Frame

Development of The Canyons has begun with the installation of major water, sewer and road infrastructure systems required to serve the community. Approximately 6 miles of offsite sewer lines and 1 mile of waterline have been installed by Shea Canyons to connect The Canyons with the existing PWSD regional system. Construction of Shea Phase 1 homes began in March 2019. It is anticipated that NCLLLP development focus will next occur in PA 1-3. Infrastructure extensions necessary to serve this $2^{\text {nd }}$ PD Amendment area will occur at such time in the future that the real estate market demands the inventory.

### 1507.04 Relationship to the Existing \& Adjacent Land Uses

The table below lists the existing zoning and land uses for the adjacent communities.

|  | Zoning around PA 12-19 | Land Use around PA 12-19 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | Town of Castle Rock Residential and <br> County Agricultural; Canyons PD | Single family and ranches |
| North | A-1 | PWSD Reuter Hess Reservoir |
| East | Douglas County A-1 | Rural residential |
| South | Town of Castle Rock PD | Single family homes |

Including this 2nd PD Amendment proposal, the residential density overall at The Canyons still remains below when compared to the rest of the City, see data below.

| Neighborhood | Acreage (acres) | Dwelling Units | Density (du/acre) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Castle Pines North | 2,188 | 3691 | 1.7 |
| Lagae Ranch | 249 | 631 | 2.5 |
| Castle Pines Town Center | 354 | 675 | 1.9 |
| The Canyons | 3,342 | 5,000 | 1.5 |

### 1507.05 Changes in the Character of the Neighborhood

Since The Canyons PD was originally passed, regional development and planning have changed the context for The Canyons creating a logical basis for considering the requested PD Amendment. Population growth alone has altered the context of The Canyons with Douglas County adding nearing 60,000 new residents since 2009. Looking forward to 2030, Douglas County is expected to add nearly 65,000 residents with another 40,000 by 2040 . To accommodate this growth, municipalities including Castle Pines will need to respond with additional housing, commercial offerings and public services like infrastructure and schools.

Within the City, the west side of I-25 has seen the development of Castle Pines Town Center and Lagae Ranch with approved single family, multi-family and mixed-use/commercial/office uses. Farther south in Castle Rock, the Meadows master planned community is nearing completion with over 9,000 units, six schools, a community college and a hospital. To the east, Anthology has been approved for over 5,000 units, with Ridgegate to the north slated to include over 10,000 units at full build-out.

Four miles to the north of The Canyons, light rail has been extended by RTD to the east side of I25 at Ridgegate with a park-and-ride to accommodate commuters. A transit-oriented development is expected to follow. Although there are currently no further expansion plans in place for light rail, it is reasonable to envision a further extension of the light rail along I-25 to Castle Rock, with a possible station within or near the Canyons over time.

The current commercial environment has changed significantly since The Canyons' PD approval in 2009 with significant growth and competition sprouting to both the north and south. The Promenade in Castle Rock includes a one million-square foot destination retail center, making it one of the largest shopping centers in the area. Ridgegate includes a 650,000 square foot corporate campus for Charles Schwab, 3 million square feet of retail and office almost complete in the West Village and 5-7 million square feet still to be developed in the City Center at the light
rail station on the east side of I-25. In addition to local retail competition and an ever-evolving commercial landscape due to technological innovations and consumer preferences, Castle Pines will need to scale up residential variety and density to create a broader base to be to be competitive and attractive to commercial tenants who can augment the tax base over time.

### 1507.06 Impacts on City Services

This PD Amendment is anticipated to have some impacts to City Services but overall minimal impacts to the City budget. Please see the fiscal impact review provided by Ford Frick in this application.

Extensive improvements have already been made by Douglas County to Hess Road and the I25/Castle Pines Parkway interchange in anticipation of future development, with Canyon's participation. New additional roads and trails will be necessary to access residential development, which will be installed by the applicant and maintained by the City. It is anticipated that City services in place may need to be expanded. The applicant has agreed to the provision of 5 mills to the City on Parcel 3 Superblock Plat \#1 development to support the expansion of City operations and maintenance services in this area.

Based on City requirements, an additional 31.86 acres of school land dedication will be made at the time of platting. This brings the total Canyons school dedication to 89.68 acres. A 12-acre elementary school site has been dedicated within PA7 by Shea Canyons, leaving 77.68 acres for Douglas County School District to use to meet the educational needs of community, including a potential site for a future high school on PA 13. Currently, homes in the north half of The Canyons are planned to attend Rock Canyons High School and homes in the south half of The Canyons are planned to attend Douglas County High School. The addition of a high school within the Canyons would provide an accessible, connected and convenient location for Castle Pines residents to send their children.

City park services will be significantly expanded in the future with the dedication of a 167+ acre Castle Pines Preserve site in the northeast corner of the Canyons. This site is large enough to develop a program as desired by the City, which may include an event pavilion and nature center, picnic, tot lot, trails, and views to Reuter Hess Reservoir. Future connection to the Reservoir trail system is possible with PWSD coordination.

Law enforcement will continue be provided by the Douglas County Sheriff's department. Emergency services will be provided by primarily by Castle Rock Fire and Rescue since the southern half of the Canyons is within the Castle Rock Fire Protection District, and they have a station located close to the development on Crowfoot Valley Road. Each are supported by corresponding mill levies on future Canyons development properties.

### 1507.07 Traffic Study

See the provided North Canyons Traffic Impact Study Addendum - 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ PD Amendment prepared by Felsburg Holt and Ullevig for additional information. In summary, the study shows that traffic impacts created with the addition of 1500 dwelling units in PA 13-19, along with 90,000 s.f. of commercial development and a potential future high school, can be mitigated by intersection
improvements, new signals, additional road lanes, and financial contributions to interchange improvements recommended by the study. These improvements are in addition to the traffic improvement commitments already made in connection with the 2009 and 2019 PD approvals.

This PD Amendment opens up a phase of the project that will complete the Canyonside Blvd connection to Crowfoot Valley Road, a new 4 lane minor arterial. This connection is included in the Douglas County 2030 Transportation Plans as a recommended 2030 roadway improvement. The City of Castle Rock has also requested this regional connection be implemented.

### 1507.08 Evidence of the Physical and Legal Capability to Provide Sanitation

The applicant has an inclusionary agreement with Parker Water and Sanitation District to serve the site with water and sanitary sewer service. Parker Water and Sanitation District has existing capacity in the water and sewer system and infrastructure located east of the site. Water and sanitary sewer lines within The Canyons already have the capacity to accommodate the additional units. A "Will Serve Letter" and Section 18 Report has been provided by PWSD to accompany this application.

### 1507.09 Type of Method of Fire Protection

Fire protection services will be provided primarily by Castle Rock Fire and Rescue since a majority of this portion of The Canyons development is already included within the Castle Rock Fire Protection District. The District has indicated that it "will serve" the additional dwelling units proposed with this PD Amendment. The northern portion of PA13 remains within the South Metro Fire District. Accordingly, an additional "will serve" letter has been obtained from this group. In discussions with the two Districts, they prefer to retain their respective areas as designated and provide courtesy support if service convenience is easier for one District than another.

### 1507.10 Hazardous Site Characteristics

The historic and continuous land use of the property has been cattle grazing for years. No changes to the site characteristics or use since the approval of the 2009 Canyons PD have occurred, other than the commencement of project construction by Shea Canyons.

### 1507.11 Impacts on Existing Flora and Fauna

Planning areas 12-19 currently consist of varied topography and vegetation within the plan. Vegetation is characterized as short and mid-grass prairie on the pediments, with Gambel Oak generally located on canyon slopes. Surface geology is characterized primarily by sands and clays, with valleys characterized by cobbley sands. Drainage on the property generally flows north towards the Reservoir. With the intended character of the proposed development to consist of residential property, existing slopes will be graded creating impacts to the existing vegetation and topography in order to create flat development sites. However, nearby open spaces,
drainages and canyons surrounding the planning areas will remain substantially unchanged and will generally be preserved in their natural state.

This PD Amendment proposes no changes to impacts to existing flora and fauna beyond those as initially approved in 2009 since development area borders remain unchanged.

## Wildlife Habitats and Corridors

The Canyons PD as a whole aims to preserve critical wildlife habitats and wildlife corridors to and from habitats, with the goal to avoid depletion of native wildlife species and to perpetuate and encourage a diversity of native high prairie wildlife species on the property. With The Canyons being designed around open space, wide corridors and drainage ways are being preserved between areas that will be developed, to preserve and minimize the effects to migration routes, habitats, shelter, cover needs and food sources.

This PD Amendment proposes no changes to open spaces or wildlife corridors as initially approved since development area borders remain unchanged.

### 1507.12 Compliance with City Comprehensive Master Plan

This PD Amendment is consistent with the City of Castle Pines' Comprehensive Master Plan, by proposing additional residential density in planning areas already designated for residential density. Furthermore, this PD Amendment strongly supports many community goals outlined in the plan as follows:

Land Use - The Comprehensive Plan indicates concentrated growth in specified areas to increase population while preserving the natural character of the land and maintaining a development pattern of contiguous and logical extensions of community resources and infrastructure. The additional 1,500 units are located in existing areas planned for development supporting both of these goals. Additionally, the proposed units would recognize, respect and design around natural geologic conditions as has been done elsewhere on the property and contribute to the desired look and feel of Castle Pines as a community.

Parks and Recreation - The Comprehensive Plan prioritizes the provision of recreation and educational facilities, both of which will be enhanced and expanded through this amendment. Trails and open space remain a priority for The Canyons and will be supported by an additional 167+ acre of parks dedications, providing an area approximately the size of Washington Park in Denver to the City of Castle Pines. Further, approximately 14 miles of public trails are planned throughout the development.

Economic Development - The Comprehensive Plan instructs the City to foster a robust and resilient economy. The additional housing product will increase population and density and help drive retail and commercial growth. The addition of residential units at the Canyons will help achieve the residential scale and market demand necessary to support larger, more diverse commercial offerings within Castle Pines.

Housing Value and Affordability - The Comprehensive Plan encourages a diversity of pricepoints, housing types and ownership options to support affordability for residents, creating unique neighborhoods, and becoming a place for all life cycles, including new families and aging seniors. With $86 \%$ of the current housing inventory in Castle Pines being single family homes, this amendment provides a variety of housing products to diversify options to future residents while maintaining a strong sense of community identity.

### 1507.13 Recreational Facilities, Parks and Open Space

The extensive amount of open space and trails originally created with the original PD remain unchanged with this Amendment. This PD Amendment exceeds the Park Land Dedication of 67.5 acres, by including a 167+ acre site dedication in the north east corner of the property, adjacent to Reuter Hess Reservoir.

### 1507.14 Other Required Information

N/A

### 1507.15 Comparison Analysis

The primary change to The Canyons PD is the addition of 1,500 dwelling units in Planning Areas 13-19, which are already designated by the PD for residential development. A portion of residential Planning Area 12 was changed to Open Space Limited to facilitate its public dedication as a park, with the remaining area of PA 12 adopted into PA13. A few minor technical engineering criteria are proposed to be amended based on experience with the platting process with Shea homes. Setbacks, heights, design character and all other zoning components of the 2009 PD approval remain the same.

### 1507.16 Criteria for Approval

The following criteria are considered by the Council for approval of major amendments:

- Whether the amendment is consistent with the development standards, commitments, and overall intent of the planned development.

The proposed PD amendment is consistent with the development standards, commitments and intent of the original Canyons PD as it is proposing no changes to those standards.

The proposed PD will uphold all previous commitments and make proportional additional commitments to reflect the request for an additional 1,500 dwelling units. These additional commitments include providing: an additional 31.86 acres for a school site, when included with prior commitments, create an area sufficient to provide a site for a future high school within the City of Castle Pines. An additional 167+ acres for park land is dedicated in Planning Area 0 , well in excess of the 67.5 acre requirement, and an additional 5 mills granted to financially support the City.

The proposal seeks to instate 1500 units within the previously designated allowable development Planning Areas 12-19. Residential land uses are currently allowed Planning

Areas 12-19 with this amendment increasing housing within those planning areas, without expansion of designated development areas.

These units support the PD vision to provide housing diversity and achieve the residential scale and market demand necessary to support a larger, more diverse retail/commercial area. The additional units will open the phase of the project that brings completion and connection of Canyonside Boulevard as originally envisioned all the way to Crowfoot Valley Road.

- Whether the amendment is consistent with the intent, efficient development and preservation of the entire planned development.

The overall intent of the City of Castle Pines Planned Development District is to: Ensure that provision is made for ample open space;
Ensure that environmentally and visually sensitive areas are preserved;
Promote layout, design and construction of residential development that is sensitive to the natural land form and environmental conditions of the immediate and surrounding area;
Provide or be located in proximity to employment and activity centers such as shopping, recreational, and community centers, health care facilities, and public transit;
Ensure the adequacy of public facilities to accommodate population growth;
Promote balanced developments of mixed housing types;
Encourage the provision of dwellings with a range of affordability; and
Otherwise implement the stated purpose and intent of the City's Zoning Ordinance and The City of Castle Pines North Comprehensive Plan.

With approval of the 2009 PD, these criteria were found to be met and remain unchanged with this PD Amendment. This amendment specifically improves upon the bold intent items noted above, particularly related to supporting the creation of activity centers, housing diversity and affordability. The enlargement of the City population base offering a more diverse housing opportunity accelerates commercial and retail development within The Canyons. Additional public facilities such as an arterial connector road and public park are also being provided.

- Whether the amendment will adversely affect the public interest or enjoyment of the adjacent land.

Enjoyment of adjacent land is not impacted by the amendment since the additional residential units are consistent with the existing uses defined in the approved PD and will be constructed only within the existing designated residential planning areas boundaries. Furthermore, the adjacent land has anticipated development of these southern planning areas within The Canyons for 10 years since the original PD approval in 2009.

Prospective public interest impacts are most closely related to the potential for negative traffic or fiscal consequences. The PD Amendment has provided a Traffic Impact Analysis that shows minimal and mitigated impacts to intersections within and close to the project for the traffic generated by the new density. In addition, a fiscal review was provided that suggests The Canyons, with its obligations to provide all necessary infrastructure, and its commitments for additional 5 mills tax base, is an efficient model for accommodating additional growth in the City. More importantly, the eventual enlargement of the Canyons
population base offers the prospect of accelerating commercial and retail development, effectively increasing per household sales taxes from all City residences.

- Whether the amendments sole purpose is to confer a special benefit upon an individual.

While NCLLLP is the economic beneficiary of additional density approved with this PD Amendment, it is not the sole purpose of the amendment. This PD amendment is an opportunity to support and be a participant in furthering the City of Castle Pines Vision. The addition of 1500 units will support the implementation of overall Goals of the City, including economic development, diversity of housing, regional road connections, additional school land dedications, sufficient for a future high school, and significant new park and trails within the City.

- For applications proposing an increase in the intensity of allowed land-uses, including changes in densities, whether the amendment is consistent with the water supply standards in Section 18A, Water Supply Overlay District, of this Ordinance.

The entirety of the Canyons Development has been previously included into the Parker Water and Sanitation District (PWSD). During the previous zoning process adequate raw water supply was included in the Canyons Water Bank for the density of the development. The remaining water rights were conveyed to PWSD as part of the inclusion process. PWSD currently has water rights available for the 1500 residential units proposed with the 2nd Amendment of the Canyons Planned Development. PWSD also has a Water Resource Toll (WRT) that provides an option to provide cash-in-lieu of the required water rights.




 $3.1 \quad$ DEDICATIONS




 3．STATEMENT OF COMMITMENTS

 GENERAL PROVISIONS
2．1 $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { MAXIMUM LEVEL OF DEVE } \\ \text { THE TOTAL NUMBER OF D }\end{array}\right)$




A MAJOR AMENDMENT TO ALLOW AN ADDITIONAL $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1，500 RESIDENTIAL UNITS IN PA } \\ & \text { PARCEL } 3 \text { THE } \\ & 3\end{aligned}$
 SNONN $\forall$ Э $\exists \mathrm{H} \perp$
 เヨヨHS とヨへOつ


 $\overline{\text { NOIIVOIIII\＆}}$
 $\overline{\text { MAYOR，CITY OF CASTLE PINES }}$ THIS AMENDMENT NO． 2 AFFECTS ONLY PLANNING AREAS 10，12－19 AS DESCRIEED IN FILE NO PDM19－001．
 CITY CERTIFICATION






 $\overline{\text { ヨy }}$ IVNDIS प्यヨNMO $\overline{\text { NOTARY PUBLIC }}$


 intanoo gontansil gill ntolazint iszla
 NOIL甘OIIIL\＆ヨO diHSZヨNMO

[^2]|  |  | （10） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7VIつyヨWWOO | （3） |
|  | Nolivoiaga anvi yuvd | （170） |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | Tษyny 7vingaisgu |  |
| İtation divin |  |  |
|  |  | वNヨอэา |

[^3]



SNONN $\forall$ O $\exists \mathrm{H} \perp$


$\frac{\text { Residential PA } 6,7,8,9,11, \int 12,11,14,15,16,17 \text {, and } 18}{\text { Residential is intended to be primariily }, \text { sesidential in character, provididing detached single family, attached }}$
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B. Referral Agency List and Response Summary

## REFERRAL LIST AND RESPONSE SUMMARY

| REFERRAL AGENCY | REFERRAL SENT | RESPONSE RECEIVED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Departments and Divisions of the State of Colorado: |  |  |
| 1. Dept of Transportation (CDOT) - Region 1 | X | X |
| 2. US Army Corp of Engineers | X |  |
| 3. Colorado Geological Survey | X | X |
| 4. Colorado Parks and Wildlife | X |  |
| 5. Environmental Protection Agency | X |  |
| Divisions of Douglas County: |  |  |
| 6. Douglas County Planning, Engineering, and Addressing | X | X |
| 7. Douglas County Assessor | X | X |
| 8. Douglas County School District | X | X |
| 9. Douglas County Sheriff | X |  |
| Districts, Authorities and Public Agencies: |  |  |
| 10. Castle Rock Fire and Rescue | X |  |
| 11. Town of Castle Rock | X | X |
| 12. Centennial Airport-Arapahoe County Public Airport Authority | X | X |
| 13. City of Lone Tree | X |  |
| 14. Cherry Creek Basin Water Quality Authority | X | X |
| 15. Parker Water and Sanitation District | X | X |
| 16. South Metro Fire \& Rescue | X | X |
| 17. Town of Parker | X |  |
| 18. Tri-County Health Department | X | X |
| 19. Canyons Metro District | X |  |
| 20. Urban Drainage and Flood Control | X |  |
| Utilities: |  |  |
| 21. IREA | X |  |
| 22. CenturyLink | X |  |
| 23. Comcast | X |  |
| 24. Black Hills Energy | X |  |
| Property Owners, Homeowner and Community Associations: |  |  |
| 25. Castle Park Ranch POA | X |  |
| 26. Cutters Ridge at Sapphire Point HOA | X |  |
| 27. Happy Canyon HOA | X |  |
| 28. Shea Homes | X |  |
| 29. Sapphire Pointe HOA | X |  |

## COLORADO

Department of Transportation

Region 1 Permit Unit<br>Traffic \& Safety<br>2829 W Howard Place<br>Denver, CO 80204

September 13, 2019
Travis Seawards, Project Manager
City of Castle Pines
360 Village Square Lane, Suite B
Castle Pines, CO 80108
RE: Referral review PDM19-001 "The Canyons" second amendment
Dear Mr. Seawards:
CDOT Region 1 has reviewed the materials provided with the referral for "The Canyons" proposed $2^{\text {nd }}$ amendment and has concerns to share with the City of Castle Pines. Our concerns are focused on the capacity of the existing interchanges to the interstate and the steps needed to upgrade them to serve traffic generated by development east of the interstate.

CDOT received a copy of a referral review by Douglas County that was sent to the City of Castle Pines on August 30, 2019 and we share many of the concerns they identified. Before consideration is made by the City's Planning Commission and City Council, CDOT requests a staff-level meeting occur to discuss the priorities of CDOT, Douglas County, and the prerequisite processes which must be adhered to before CDOT can issue an access permit enabling improvements within the interstate right-of-way.

Many of our remarks are technical in nature yet lead to the need for a revamped TIS and appropriate materials necessary to assess the interim and full term commitments from the developer's metro district and/or City of Castle Pines to fund the improvements warranted to handle the traffic at the interchanges.

In preparation for our staff-level meeting, CDOT will send to the City an agenda of topics and matters to be covered. As submitted, the two-part TIS (original from amendment 1 and this addendum) remains deficient for our assessment and not adequate to be considered for an FHWA interstate access approval or a CDOT access permit. Our meeting will include an overview of the State's 1601 processes that relate to both interchanges and the various analytics which needs to occur to ensure that development generated traffic from the east side of I-25 responsibly addresses the connections to the state system.

In summary, due to the significant increase in traffic and the expected impact to both the Happy Canyon and Castle Pines interchanges, adherence to the state's 1601 process must be followed. The development cannot expect to connect or make improvements to the interchanges without an IAR that is a federal requirement. An IAR is typically supported by a system level study that examines the ramifications and acceptable mitigation. The outcome of the 1601 process is an IGA which covers the scope, timing, design, construction cost, and maintenance. When a longterm mitigation plan is established, the improvements can be phased over time to achieve the long term plan.

Sincerely,

Rick Solomon, Permit Unit

```
cc. Douglas County
    Angie Drumm, Deputy Director, Region }
    Alazar Tesfaye, Region }1\mathrm{ Traffic Engineer
    File
```

Traffic \& Safety
Region 1
2829 W. Howard Place
Denver, Colorado 80204
Project Name: The Canyons at Castle Pines

|  |  | Highway: | Mile Marker: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Print Date: | 9/17/2019 | 1-25 | 188 |

Drainage Comments:
Did not review zoning document

Environmental Comments:
Did not review zoning document

## Traffic Comments:

Given new information regarding substantial increases in traffic on the west side of I-25, The study also needs to include the these traffic increases as part of the overall study. There is considerable loading on both the Castle Pines interchange and especially the Happy Canyon interchange. Previous comments (amendment 1) still apply.

Scherner 9-6-19

## Right of Way Comments:

SDH 9/10/19 - No additional comments related to PD 2nd Amendment. Right of Way will need to be addressed as further decisions are made related to improvements of the I-25 / Happy Canyon Rd interchange.

## Resident Engineer Comments:

See concerns in letter dated 09-13-19

Utilities Comments:
I have no additional comments.
PM 09/13/19

## Permits Comments:

I have no major comments except the obvious that the traffic study needs to be looked at by our people carefully.

## Other Comments:

Previous comments to first amendment do not appear to be addressed:

1. Remove "Access Arrows" on Hess inside of A-line
2. Access to highways is not vested by zoning. Only by permit.
3. Clarify what is the purpose of the "100-ft transportation corridor"? Is it for noise buffering? Why does it not graphically extend the full lenght (not across non-residential parcel) Is it a reservation or RoW \& timing of dedication?
4. Any work in CDOT RoW is by permit. Additional lane on NB ramp (@ Castle Pines) requires a MIMR.
5. TIS for Amendment 1 makes false assumptions about the Douglas County IAR - as to what is proposed for accommodating east side traffic @ Happy Canyon. Please revise.
6. Improvements for east side of Happy Canyon is crafted through a 1601 process, yet to commence.

New comments:

1. Unable to fully assess scope of changes based on an amendment to the TIS for amendment 1. Amendment 1 contained issues, omissions and oversights (assumptions) CDOT was not accepting of.

## COLORADO GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

1801 Moly Road
Golden, Colorado 80401

August 30, 2019


Travis Seawards, AICP
Castle Pines Community Development 360 Village Square Lane, Suite B
Castle Rock, CO 80108

## Location:

Sections 1, 12, 13, 24, and 25,
T7S, R67W of the $6^{\text {th }}$ P.M.
39.4485, -104.8347

## Subject: Case Number PDM19-001: The Canyons Planned Development, ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment City of Castle Pines, Douglas County, CO; CGS Unique No. DU-20-0004

Dear Mr. Seawards:
Colorado Geological Survey has reviewed The Canyons PD $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment referral. I understand the applicant proposes up to 1500 dwelling units in Planning Areas 12-19 in the eastern portion of The Canyons PD, 167.5 acres of parkland in Planning Area O, and a 9.86-acre school site.

CGS has previously reviewed the overall Canyons property for Douglas County and Castle Pines. The site is not exposed to any geologic hazards or unusual geotechnical constraints that would preclude the proposed additional density.

Previously identified concerns in this area of The Canyons include shallow slope failures. Most or all of the steep slopes within The Canyons PD 2nd Amendment are along drainages and appear to be located within planned open space. CGS therefore has no objection to approval.

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on this project. If you have questions or require further review, please call me at (303) 384-2643, or e-mail carlson@mines.edu.

Sincerely,


Jill Carlson, C.E.G.
Engineering Geologist

Travis Seawards
City of Castle Pines Community Development
360 Village Square Lane
Castle Pines, CO 80108

Re: The Canyons Planned Development, Second Amendment, Case No. PDM19-001 (Douglas County Project No. RE2019-123)

Dear Travis:

Douglas County Planning Services has reviewed the materials provided as part of the The Canyons Planned Development, Second Amendment ("application") and offers the following comments:

The application proposes an increase in the maximum allowable units within the CPD by 1,500 within Planning Areas (PA) 12-19, to increases public land dedication by 167.5 acres and school land dedication by 31.85 acres. The application further assigns $90,000 \mathrm{sf}$ of mixed-use commercial to PA 19 and establishes a site for a high school. A primary reason cited in the application for the proposed increase to residential units is to support economic vitality and housing diversity.

PAs 12-19 include much of the eastern edge of the CPD, with PA L- Open Space providing additional land use character. The proposed increase in density in these development areas will result in greater visual impacts for abutting residents such as those living within Castle Park Ranch as well as for future residents of CPD. Smaller lots with limited rear and front yard setbacks now popular in the market reduce opportunities for tree planting on individual lots. As a result, abutting community open space areas such as pocket parks, along trail corridors, streets, and pocket parks become an opportunity for the visual screening, softening, shade and wildlife habitat provided by trees. Such design choices can impart enhanced community character as is evident within the older Castle Pines neighborhoods and serve to establish a unifying community character across the City.

## Joe Fowler

Joe Fowler, AICP
Chief Planner

August 30, 2019

Travis Seawards
City of Castle Pines
360 Village Square Lane
Castle Pines, CO 80108
Re: The Canyons Planned Development, Second Amendment, Case No. PDM19-001
(Douglas County Project No. RE2019-123)
Dear Travis,
The Douglas County Engineering Division has reviewed the proposed 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment to the Canyons Planned Development and has the following comments:
Douglas County previously submitted the following comment to the City of Castle Pines during the referral for the $1^{\text {st }}$ Amendment to the Canyons North PD ( $1^{\text {st }}$ Amendment):
"Funding for the Happy Canyon interchange with I-25 has not been fully secured by Castle Pines, CDOT or Douglas County. Considering this is a PD amendment with the intent to increase allowable units, it is suggested the City of Castle Pines secure a fairshare contribution from the applicant through this PD amendment to be put towards reconstructing the Happy Canyon / I-25 Interchange."

It is not apparent to County staff that any commitment was made by the developer, or additional funding was secured at the time the $1^{\text {st }}$ Amendment was approved to be used for the Happy Canyon / I-25 Interchange, which added approximately 1,000 units. Further, Douglas County staff is unclear what the commitment was associated with the original PD for the interchange - is it $38 \%$ of the total interchange improvements or $38 \%$ of something less; and if it is for something less is now the appropriate time to clarify that issue so all parties involved in funding the interchange can have a clear understanding of how the required improvements will be funded.
With this proposed $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment of the PD, the proposal is to add an additional 1,500 units. Approval of this $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment of the PD would result in a total of 5,000 units total, which is double the number of units approved with the original PD (2,500 units). Douglas County staff must reiterate the need for additional funding required to reconstruct / improve the Happy Canyon / I-25 Interchange.

The original PD, along with the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ amendments of the PD, will have a direct impact on this interchange, and as such, should share in the interchange improvements associated with the additional impacts from original PD and any subsequent amendments. The County strongly suggests the City of Castle Pines secure a fair-share contribution from the applicant through this PD amendment process, and to secure a fair-share contribution from the $1^{\text {st }}$ amendment if that has not already been done.

The County recognizes the importance of reconstructing / improving the existing interchange due to increased traffic associated with new growth from development located on both the west and east sides of I- 25 . The County currently estimates $\$ 8.5$ Million is needed to accommodate and connect the west side (phase 1). An additional $\$ 21.5$ Million is needed to connect the east side (phase 2) of which CDOT is responsible to contribute approximately $\$ 3$ Million. CDOT's $\$ 3$ Million is associated with replacing the existing bridge and the remaining interchange improvement costs ( $\$ 27$ Million) is the local agencies / developers responsibility.
Further, the County is in the process of completing an update to our 2040 Transportation Master Plan and also identified a potential contribution of $\$ 5.5$ Million for interchange improvements associated with connecting the west side (phase 1) in our upcoming 5 year list of capital improvement priority projects. The County has also identified a potential additional contribution of $\$ 5$ Million for interchange improvements associated with connecting the east side (phase 2), which isn't available until after 2031. Accelerating the reconstruction associated with connecting the east side could be done sooner without the potential County contribution. Please note, that the Douglas County funding mentioned above is subject to annual appropriations (CRS 29-1-110) and future approval by the Douglas County Board of County Commissioners.
County staff has also reviewed the traffic impact study. The comments associated with this review are attached to this letter. If you have any questions, please contact me.

Respectfully,


Matt Williams, P.E., CFM
Assistant Director Development Review and Stormwater mwillia1@douglas.co.us

# Memo 

To: Matt Williams
From: Chris J Martin
Date: 08/28/19

## Re: Canyons North TIA Addendum dated July 2019

I have reviewed the above document for this project (dated July 2019), for this project and have the following comments:

The TIA is for 1,500 DU's and possibly a 2,500 student H.S. This would bring Canyons North to a total of 5,000 SF DU's, 2.1 million sq ft of commercial space and the H.S.

## Key Points

- Per Page 17 section IV.C it is understood that a roundabout is planned to the west of the Happy Canyon Rd / I-25 interchange. However, the TIA does not provide analysis of this roundabout with the proposed expansion.
- A "Happy Canyon Interstate Access Request" (HCIAR) is referenced but not included. Apparently the HCIAR has identified phased improvements necessary to serve long-term traffic volumes at the interchange. This TIA suggests that additional analysis is needed once the "ultimate" HCIAR configuration is chosen.
- In the absence of an ultimate HCIAR configuration, The Canyons is proposing to contribute up to an additional 3\% (pro rata base on trips from this proposal) of the cost on the interchange improvements.
- Note that this addendum doesn't reveal what the previous proposed contribution was. Also, background site specific trips from the earlier TIA are not provided here. So the percentage increase of the proposed expansion trips at the HCR interchange compared to Background conditions cannot be derived.


## Background Scenario

For Background conditions the following are assumed:

- All planned/recommended roadway network changes from the $1^{\text {st }}$ PD Amendment. These are:
o Construction of a 2-lane HCR from I-25 to Canyonside Blvd. (Shea) to be widened to 4lanes with the connection of Canyonside Blvd to CVR
o Canyonside Blvd will be a continuous 4-lane arterial from HCR to Crowfoot Valley Rd (CVR)
$0 \quad$ A third westbound through lane should be constructed at the Castle Pines Pkwy (CCP) intersection with Havana St.
o Traffic Signals at CVR / Canyonside Blvd as well as CVR / Sapphire Pointe (note that the signal at Canyonside Blvd / CVR is committed to in The Canyons Annexation and Development Agreement)
o Widening of CVR to a 4-lane major arterial cross section including:
- eastbound left turn decel lanes at both Sapphire Pointe and Canyonside Blvd.
- a westbound dedicated right turn decel lane at Canyonside Blvd.
- Redirected traffic volumes using Canyonside Blvd were developed using the DRCOG model and recent traffic counts and are assumed to be nearly 10,300 vpd.
- The TIA indicates the most signalized intersections would operate at LOS D or better with the above assumptions in the background scenario.


## Long Term Scenario

Phase 1, Total Long Range (2040) Traffic Volumes

- Volumes on CPP on the bridge over I-25 would be approximately $42,300 \mathrm{vpd}$
- Volumes on HCR on the bridge over I-25 would be approximately 26,950 vpd (Note that this volume will exceed the capacity of the current 2-lane section)
- Volumes on Canyonside Blvd between HCR and CVR would be approximately 28,550 vpd
- Crowfoot Valley Rd west of Canyonside Blvd would be approximately 30,650 vpd.

Phase 1, Total Long Range (2040) Recommended Improvements

- An Interstate Access Request (IAR) is recommended to examine the long-term volumes and to determine the improvements necessary to mitigate them.
- Figure 8 visually depicts the following improvements:
o At the Southbound I-25 ramps at HCR, convert the southbound through lane to a shared through/left lane to create a dual left turn scenario
0 At the westbound HCR approach to the I-25 northbound ramp intersection, create a free flow right turn scenario for westbound to northbound turns. Widening of the northbound on ramp?
o At the eastbound HCR approach to Canyonside Blvd create a free flow right turn scenario for eastbound to southbound turns.

Phase 2, Total Long Range (2040) Traffic Volumes - With the addition of the 2,500 student High School

- Volumes on CPP on the bridge over I-25 would be approximately $42,550 \mathrm{vpd}$
- Volumes on HCR on the bridge over I-25 would be approximately $27,750 \mathrm{vpd}$
- Volumes on Canyonside Blvd between HCR and CVR would be approximately 31,350 vpd
- Crowfoot Valley Rd west of Canyonside Blvd would be approximately 31,150 vpd.


## Review Summary

The TIA Addendum, indicates that the additional traffic generated by the proposed $2^{\text {nd }}$ PD Amendment can be accommodated by the area arterial/collector road network with previously identified improvements (North Canyons TIS for the $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ PD Amendment) and improvements identified in this addendum.

## Concerns:

- This TIA Addendum does not provide analysis of the planned roundabout intersection at HCR/Lagae Rd just west of I-25. This analysis would need to be included in the TIA Addendum in order to for the above claim to be made.
- Details related to needed mitigations at the HCR/I-25 interchange are vague. The referenced HCIAR is not provided. Apparently the HCIAR has identified phased improvements necessary to serve long-term traffic volumes at the interchange. The TIA indicates that these improvements are not the responsibility of the Canyons North development.
- Indications are that in the background scenario (no traffic from the proposed $2^{\text {nd }}$ PD Amend) with the connection of Canyonside Blvd between Crowfoot and HCR, the capacity of the existing 2lane section of HCR at l-25 will be exceeded. However, it is not clear what plans are to fund and construct capacity improvements.

This TIA Addendum references the North Canyons TIS which evaluated the $1^{\text {st }}$ PD Amendment as well as the HCIAR. The referenced documents should be submitted for review along with this current addendum.

In order to assess the impacts of the background traffic as well as the impacts of the 2 phases of the expansion of the Canyons North development, the HCR roundabout west of I-25 needs to be added to the study area.

Let me know if you have any comments or questions on this information.

C: RE2019-132

| From: | Brooke Decker [bdecker@douglas.co.us](mailto:bdecker@douglas.co.us) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, August 16, 2019 4:55 PM |
| To: | Travis Seawards <br> Subject: <br> 2nd PD Amendment |
| Travis - |  |
| We have no comments on the project. |  |
| Thank you! |  |
| Brooke Decker |  |
| GIS Specialist |  |
| Douglas County Assessor's Office |  |
| 303.660.7450 x4284 |  |

From: Travis Seawards [travis.seawards@castlepinesco.gov](mailto:travis.seawards@castlepinesco.gov)
Sent: Wednesday, July 31, 2019 4:18 PM
To: Travis Seawards [travis.seawards@castlepinesco.gov](mailto:travis.seawards@castlepinesco.gov)
Cc: Sam Bishop [sam@castlepinesco.gov](mailto:sam@castlepinesco.gov)
Subject: City of Castle Pines: 30 Day Agency Referral for PDM19-001: The Canyons 2nd PD Amendment

Interested Parties -

Please find referral documents for The Canyons $2^{\text {nd }}$ PD Amendment project, Case. No. PDM19-001 at the link below. The applicant is requesting to amend The Canyons PD to allow up to 1,500 dwelling units in Planning Areas (PAs) 12-19; dedicate 167.5 acres of parkland in Planning Area O; dedicate 9.86 acres of school land; and make minor modifications to PD development standards.

Please examine the enclosed project and return your comments to the City of Castle Pines Community Development Department on or before August 31, 2019. Comments not received on or by the date indicated above, will be considered as an endorsement for approval.
https://castlepines.sharepoint.com/:f:/s/CastlePinesDevelopmentReview/EhzsF-
5rOyVAvxqPy1AvIdQB G1KCYfwWwSsTodqvc6lg?e=Emw5N2

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions on the project. Thanks.

## Travis Seawards

City of Castle Pines
360 Village Square Lane, Suite B
Castle Pines, CO 80108
303.705.0224
castlepinesco.gov

(1) 0

September 16, 2019

Travis Seawards
City of Castle Pines
360 Village Square Lane, Suite B
Castle Pines, CO 80108

## Re: The Canyons PD, $2^{\text {st }}$ Amendment, PDM19-001

Dear Mr. Seawards;
We are in receipt of your request for comments on the above referenced application. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the proposed project.

On behalf of Douglas County School District ("DCSD"), we have the following comments regarding this application. This application proposes 1500 additional dwelling units on 717.3acres. This represents a residential density of 2.09 dwelling units per acre. Public land dedications for schools will need to be increased corresponding to the increase of dwelling units.

When making calculations for school land requirements related to proposed residential development, DCSD uses updated standards in all responses for referral requests from local jurisdictions within the District. The minimum school site land requirements have increased due to larger student capacity demands per school type, topographic challenges of school sites provided and mandated regulations such as Phase II storm water requirements requiring land for storm water detention. As a result, elementary school site requirements have increased from 10 acres to 12 acres, middle school site requirements have increased from 25 acres to 30 acres, and high school site requirements have increased from 50 to 60 acres.

For purposes of development land requirement calculations, the District uses updated student capacity factors of 680 students per elementary school, 1000 students per middle school and 2000 students per high school. The resulting updated development land requirement of acres per student is 0.018 acres per elementary school student ( 12 acres $/ 680$ students $=0.018$ acres per student), 0.030 acres per middle school student ( 30 acres / 1000 students $=0.030$ acres per student), and 0.030 acres per high school student ( 60 acres $/ 2000$ students $=0.030$ acres per student).

The District uses the following factors to estimate the number of students generated per household based on residential densities.

| Density by Dwelling Unit per Acre | Grades K-6 | Grades 7-8 | Grades 9-12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{x}</=0.1$ | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 1.10 |
| $0.1<x</=0.5$ | 0.54 | 0.15 | 0.31 | 1.00 |
| $0.5<x</=2.0$ | 0.52 | 0.15 | 0.29 | 0.96 |
| $2.0<x</=5.0$ | 0.48 | 0.14 | 0.28 | 0.90 |
| 5.0<x</=7.5 | 0.39 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.75 |
| $7.5<x</=9.0$ | 0.26 | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.50 |
| $9.0<x</=12.0$ | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.37 |
| $12.0<x</=15.0$ | 0.17 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.33 |
| $15.0<x</=22.0$ | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.15 |
| 22.0<x | 0.05 | 0.008 | 0.017 | 0.075 |

With the residential density for the proposed 1500 dwelling units at 2.09 dwelling units per acre, student generation factors of 0.48 students per household for Grades K-6, 0.14 students per household for grades 7-8 and 0.24 students per household for grades $9-12$ are used below.

|  |  |  | Generation <br> Rate | Number <br> of Students |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STUDENT GENERATION RATES | No. of DU's |  | 0.48 | 720 |  |
| ELEMENTARY | 1500 | X | 0.14 | 210 |  |
| MIDDLE SCHOOL | 1500 | X | 0.28 | 420 |  |
| HIGH SCHOOL | 1500 | X | TOTAL | 1350 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Required |  |
|  |  |  |  | School | Land |
|  |  |  |  | Acreage | Dedication |

As shown above, DCSD student generation calculations for these 1500 additional dwelling units total 720 elementary students, 210 middle school students and 420 high school students. This represents a total school land dedication requirement of 31.86 -acres.

The Canyons Annexation and Development Agreement ("Agreement") For The Canyons dated October 22, 2009 stipulates conveyance of 49-acres of land for school sites as follows:
" C. School Sites and Fees. Owners or the applicable Developer(s) will Dedicate to the City 49 acres of land (or cash in Lieu of such land dedication as provided in this Section this Section 4.9C) suitable for the development of schools. All school sites within the Project will be located in areas where school uses are permitted pursuant to the PD Plan. Fortytwo (42) acres of land for school use will be dedicated to the City upon the earlier to occur of (i) recordation of the final subdivision plats containing such school sites; or (ii) a request from the

City for such Site(s), provided student population generated from development within the Project (using the City's generally applicable methodology for calculating student generation then in effect) demands such a school, or the City (a) has a designated school use for such Site(s) which is intended to be implemented within a reasonable amount of time following Dedication. In either event, the Sites(s) must be located within an area of the Property provided with all required utility and infrastructure for such School use. With respect to the remaining 7 acres, Owners or applicable Developer(s) may, at the Owners' or applicable Developer(s)' discretion either Dedicate such site to the City upon recordation of the final subdivision plat containing such site, or pay cash in lieu of land dedication. If the Owners or applicable Developer(s) decides to pay cash in lieu of land dedication, the amount of cash will be determined based on the fair market value of the land at the time of payment in accordance with applicable provisions of City Code. Neither Owners, Developers nor the Districts will have any obligation to grade or otherwise improve the sites, or to design, construct or contribute to the cost of such schools or the extension of access or utilities to or within such sites. Dedication of a school site to the City will be subject to the site being used exclusively for school or public park uses and will prevent the City from subsequently reselling such site for any other use. If construction of a school on a Dedicated school site does not occur within a reasonable period of time following Dedication, the City may use such site for construction of a public park only. The above-referenced Dedications will fully satisfy the City's requirement for land suitable for Dedication requirements. School land Dedicated to the City for both public and private schools, including without limitation institutions of higher education will fulfill Owners' school land Dedication requirements herein. No additional school land Dedications or cash in lieu will be required by the Owners, Developer(s) or the District(s) for the Property."

The Canyons Planned Development Plan dated October 6, 2009 includes the following Statement of Commitments:

## "2.1 Dedications

2.1.3 Schools

The Owner shall dedicate land suitable for the development of schools as set forth in the development agreement. Final locations shall be determined and phased through the subdivision process. Owners may pay cash in lieu of the dedication to fulfill the requirements. The City shall own and maintain the land until conveyance."

## The Canyons Planned Development Plan, ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Amendment

$1^{\text {st }}$ Amendment The Canyons PD Plan Statement of Commitments stipulates conveyance of an additional 8.82-acres of land for school sites as follows:

## "3. STATEMENT OF COMMITMENTS

ALL PUBLIC LAND DEDICATIONS TO THE CITY SHALL BE MADE BY THE RESPECTIVE FINAL PLAT CONTAINING SUCH LAND, UNLESS OTHERWISE AGREED TO BY THE CITY AND OWNER, AND THE CITY AGREES THAT THE APPROPRIATE ENTITY MANAGING FACILITIES ON THE DEDICATED LAND WILL BE REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN THE FACILITIES TO A QUALITY LEVEL CONSISTENT WITH THE

QUALITY ENVISIONED FOR THE PROPERTY AS REFLECTED IN CITY AND PROJECT DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS.

ALL CONVEYANCES AND DEDICATIONS WILL OCCUR AT FINAL PLAT APPROVAL TO ALLOW FLEXIBILITY TO REFINE LOCATIONS AS APPROPRIATE AND SHALL BE LOCATED IN AREAS DESIGNATED IN THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN AS OPEN SPACE LIMITED AND OPEN SPACE ACTIVE.

SCHOOL DEDICATIONS SHALL BE IN AREAS DESIGNATED FOR THAT USE IN THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN. CO-LOCATION WILL BE CONSIDERED IN ORDER TO SHARE PUBLIC RESOURCES AND MORE EFFICIENTLY UTILIZE LAND BASED ON APPROVAL BY THE CITY.
3.1.2 SCHOOLS

THE OWNER SHALL DEDICATE 8.82 ACRES OF LAND SUITABLE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF SCHOOLS AS SET FORTH IN THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT. FINAL LOCATIONS SHALL BE DETERMINED AND PHASED THROUGH THE SUBDIVISION PROCESS. OWNERS MAY PAY CASH IN LIEU OF THE DEDICATION TO FULFILL THE REQUIREMENTS. THE CITY SHALL OWN AND MAINTAIN THE LAND UNTIL CONVEYANCE CREATES VESTED PROPERTY RIGHTS THAT EXTEND THE TERM OF THE VESTED PROPERTY RIGHTS FOR A PERIOD OF TIME OF MORE THAN THREE YEARS FROM THE DATE OF APPROVAL, PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 68, TITLE 24, C.R.S., AS AMENDED."

## First Amendment to Annexation and Development Agreement

The "First Amendment to Annexation and Development Agreement" stipulates conveyance of an additional 8.82-acres of land for school sites as follows:
"5. Section 4.9 C of the Agreement is hereby amended to require, in addition to the school site Dedication requirements specified in the Agreement, the Dedication of an additional 8.82 acres of land suitable for the development of schools from Owner to the City. This additional school site Dedication is in full satisfaction of all City requirements with respect to school sites, and will be Dedicated to the City by Owner in conformity with the requirements set forth in the Agreement. Owner intends for, and the City acknowledges that, the additional school site Dedication required by this First Amendment will fully satisfy and expressly preempt and supersede any conflicting City Code provisions as they relate to the Additional Units. No additional school site Dedications or cash in lieu will be required to be conveyed or paid as a condition of any Development Application approval."

## The Canyons Planned Development Plan, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment

The applicant provided a draft $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment The Canyons PD Plan Statement of Commitments as follows:

## 3. STATEMENT OF COMMITMENTS

THE CERTAIN SPECIFIC COMMITMENTS SET FORTH BELOW AND IN THE AMENDED ANNEXATION AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT APPROVED

CONCURRENTLY WITH THIS DEVELOPMENT PLAN COLLECTIVELY DEFINE THE EXTENT OF OWNER'S OBLIGATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE LAND IN THIS DEVELOPMENT PLAN. ALL REFERENCES TO "OWNER" AS SPECIFIED HEREIN SHALL MEAN THE ENTITY WHICH, AT ANY GIVEN TIME, IS THE PARTY TO THE ANNEXATION AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, AS SUCCESSOR TO NORTH CANYONS, LLLP'S RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS THEREUNDER. COMMITMENTS SET FORTH HEREIN ARE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE OWNER, HOWEVER, OTHER APPROPRIATE ENTITIES, INCLUDING SPECIAL DISTRICTS, MAY ASSUME THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR COMMITMENTS.

ALL PUBLIC LAND DEDICATIONS TO THE CITY SHALL BE MADE BY THE RESPECTIVE FINAL PLAT CONTAINING SUCH LAND, UNLESS OTHERWISE AGREED TO BY THE CITY AND OWNER, AND THE CITY AGREES THAT THE APPROPRIATE ENTITY MANAGING FACILITIES ON THE DEDICATED LAND WILL BE REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN THE FACILITIES TO A QUALITY LEVEL CONSISTENT WITH THE QUALITY ENVISIONED FOR THE PROPERTY AS REFLECTED IN CITY AND PROJECT DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS.

ALL CONVEYANCES AND DEDICATIONS WILL OCCUR AT FINAL PLAT APPROVAL TO ALLOW FLEXIBILITY TO REFINE LOCATIONS AS APPROPRIATE AND SHALL BE LOCATED IN AREAS DESIGNATED IN THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN AS OPEN SPACE LIMITED AND OPEN SPACE ACTIVE. SCHOOL DEDICATIONS SHALL BE IN AREAS DESIGNATED FOR THAT USE IN THE DEVELOPMENT PLAN. CO-LOCATION WILL BE CONSIDERED IN ORDER TO SHARE PUBLIC RESOURCES AND MORE EFFICIENTLY UTILIZE LAND BASED ON APPROVAL BY THE CITY.

### 3.1.2 SCHOOLS

THE OWNER SHALL DEDICATE 9.86 ACRES OF LAND SUITABLE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF SCHOOLS AS SET FORTH IN THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT. FINAL LOCATIONS SHALL BE DETERMINED AND PHASED THROUGH THE SUBDIVISION PROCESS. OWNERS MAY PAY CASH IN LIEU OF THE DEDICATION TO FULFILL THE REQUIREMENTS. THE CITY SHALL OWN AND MAINTAIN THE LAND UNTIL CONVEYANCE.
3.1.3 OWNER RESERVED RIGHTS:

IN MAKING THE DEDICATIONS AS PROVIDED ABOVE, THE OWNER SHALL HAVE THE RIGHT TO RESERVE TO THEMSELVES, THEIR SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS, AT THE TIME OF FINAL PLAT, SPECIFIED EASEMENTS TO USE PORTIONS OF THE DEDICATED LANDS FOR WATER FACILITIES, WASTEWATER FACILITIES, UTILITY SERVICES FACILITIES AND DRAINAGE FACILITIES AND INCLUDING ANY OTHER EASEMENTS REQUIRED FOR DEVELOPMENT, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS DEVELOPMENT PLAN."

The Commitments Section 3.1.2 Schools and the Commitments Matrix shown on the draft The Canyons Planned Development Plan map both list 9.86 -acres of additional land for schools. It should be noted that the proposed 9.86 -acres of additional land for schools is 22-acres less than
identified in the calculations listed above.
DCSD plans for school needs using the Master Capital Plan that is updated annually. DCSD is also currently preparing a Boundary and Capacity Study for all schools within the District. In addition, DCSD is working on a Strategic Plan addressing a variety of school policies. These activities are anticipated to be complete during the first quarter of 2020.

DCSD looks forward to continue to work with the City of Castle Pines, the applicant, and its consultants with regard to the timing, location and acreage of school sites and associated service area boundary assumptions. With this in mind, DCSD is in support of moving forward with this rezoning application provided that a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) is drafted by the City of Castle Pines and agreed upon by the Board of Education. This MOU needs to address the process by which the educational needs of the Canyons Planned Development can be met through additional future land dedication, cash-in-lieu or a combination of both; the addition of schools as a use-by right in all planning areas of the Canyons Planned Development, City codification of student generation and school land dedication requirements used by DCSD, and periodic development monitoring and milestones to ensure adequate land is available for school purposes.

Thank you for your support of our mutual constituents!
Sincerely,

## SCO Consulting, LLC



Steve Ormiston
Consultant to DCSD

## External Referral Comments

TO: $\quad$ Travis Seawards, City of Castle Pines Community Development Department
FROM: Pam Hall, Planner I Development Services Department
DATE: August 29, 2019

SUBJECT: COU19-0019, Case No. PDM19-0001, The Canyons $2^{\text {nd }}$ PD Amendment
Thank you for the opportunity to review and respond to the proposed creation of adding 1,500 new dwelling units (case number PDM19-0001). The application was reviewed by various Town Departments with the comments listed below. Please keep us informed of any changes to the proposal. Thank you.

Fire Department - (Rick Young, 303-660-1066) - A large portion of the property is within the Castle Rock Fire Protection District and the Fire Department will provide service to the area. The Fire District has already provided comments on the plans to Castle Pines and Douglas County.

Floodplain - (David Van Dellen, 720-733-6029) - This project encompasses un-delineated major drainageway floodplains. The Town of Castle Rock recommends delineation of the existing floodplain limits within the project boundary as part of Phase I Drainage Report. Additionally, Lemon Gulch has had a history of flooding and erosion concerns within Town of Castle Rock limits and downstream adjacent to Town boundary. Recommend discussion of mitigating measures to address channel instabilities and impacts to proposed and existing developments.

TIS Comments- (Brian Kelley, 303-814-6417)

1. The TIS Addendum should be using the latest planning efforts from the County's 2040 update to their Transportation Master Plan (TMP). This will provide the most accurate account of current and future traffic conditions to identify necessary improvements.
2. The proposed expansion would increase the number of homes $60 \%$ than what was approved with their 1st Amendment, and a 100\% increase from the original 2009 PD approval less than 10 years ago. The Douglas County and Town of Castle Rock Transportation Master Plans (TMP) did not account for this large increase in their TMP analysis. It is very important to know if the transportation system can adequately accommodate this significant increase in proposed housing units in the future. To fully understand the impact of the proposed increase
in density to the southern portion of the Canyons Development, the Town of Castle Rock suggests the following additional analysis be provided:
a. Analyze the LOS for Founders Parkway (SH-86) and Crowfoot Valley Road intersection (with current improvements).
b. Analyze Crowfoot Valley Road and Sapphire Pointe Boulevard intersection as a roundabout. c. Provide ADT projections for Crowfoot Valley Road, and Founders Parkway between Crowfoot Valley Road and I-25. These are two very important regional roads in the center of Douglas County and must be able to accommodate the projected growth.
3. The Town is concerned that the additional density will further impact the congestion expected on Founders Parkway and Crowfoot Valley Road. According to the Town's TMP and the County's updated 2040 TMP, Founders Parkway is already projected to be over capacity by 2040. Additionally, Crowfoot Valley Road is nearing roadway capacity in 2040.
a. What is the projected V/C LOS for Crowfoot Valley Road and Founders Parkway with the additional trips being added and using the roadway sections described above?
4. If the Crowfoot Valley Road and Sapphire Pointe Boulevard intersection is converted into a roundabout, how does this impact the intersection design at Crowfoot Valley Road and Canyonside Boulevard? The two intersections are less than 1,000 feet apart. Can the transition and queuing be accommodated in this distance, especially when the additional traffic from the additional houses is added? What is the impact to both intersection's LOS? Please look at using the same geometry that was used in the recent Town's analysis for the Crowfoot Valley corridor.
a. The report states that the Sapphire Point Boulevard and Crowfoot Valley Road intersection is signalized for the analysis, has the Canyons developer agreed to be responsible for constructing this improvement?
5. Canyonside Boulevard and Crowfoot Valley Road Intersection:
a. According to the graphics (\#7 and 8), the SB left turn volume is 520 vehicles in the PM peak hour. This high volume should require a SB double left.
b. Also the south leg of the intersection that serves the Canyons South development is not shown. Please make sure to include this leg of the intersection in the analysis and intersection design.
6. What is the timing plan for widening Crowfoot Valley Road to 4-lanes along the development's southern border?
7. The possible Cinnabar Drive connection from the Sapphire Point neighborhood to the Canyonside Boulevard should only be connected via another local residential street with similar land uses.
a. However, the Town would like to know how does this connection impact Cinnabar Drive? What would be the projected ADT on the street, as well as Kimberly Drive?

## Regional Mobility Concerns:

8. To improve regional circulation, the intersection of South Havana Street is recommended to be realigned to directly align with the Canyonside Boulevard intersection at Hess Road.

City of Castle Pines • 360 Village Square Lane, Suite B • Castle Pines, CO 80108
Telephone (303) 705-0200 • Fax (303) 688-9414• castlepinesco.gov

July 31, 2019
SUBJECT: Case No. PDM19-001: The Canyons Planned Development (PD), $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment 30-Day Referral Response Request

Project Description: The applicant is requesting to amend The Canyons PD to allow up to 1,500 dwelling units in Planning Areas (PAs) 12-19; dedicate 167.5 acres of parkland in Planning Area O; dedicate 9.86 acres of school land; and make minor modifications to PD development standards.

Please examine the enclosed project and return your comments to the City of Castle Pines Community Development Department on or before August 31, 2019. Comments not received on or by the date indicated above, will be considered as an endorsement for approval.

Please review and comment in the space provided


If you are unable to submit written comments by the due date, or if you need additional materials or information, please contact me at (303) 705-0224, travis.seawards@castlepinesco.gov. Our office hours are Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.


Travis Seawards, AICP
City of Castle Pines Community Development
Enclosed Via Email

CENTENNIAL
A I R P OR T

CENTENNIAL AIRPORT<br>ARAPAHOE COUNTY PUBLIC AIRPORT AUTHORITY

7800 South Peoria Street, Unit G1
Englewood, Colorado 80112
main: 303.790.0598
fax: 303.790.2129
www.centennialairport.com

August 29, 2019

Travis Seawards
City of Castle Pines
360 Village Square Lane, Suite B
Castle Pines, CO 80108
Re: PDM19-001: The Canyons 2nd PD Amendment
Dear Mr. Seawards,
Thank you for the opportunity to review the site plan. The Arapahoe County Public Airport Authority continues to have concerns over the developments proposed within the Canyons Filings as it was originally planned as well as the addition of more residential uses. It is our opinion that an avigation easement be executed for the entire development and not when just deemed necessary. Due to the development's proximity to the Airport and that the proposed development lies directly under the Instrument Landing System (ILS) approach to the Airport's main runway on elevated terrain, it can see overflights at all hours of the day regardless of weather conditions with aircraft at altitudes of $1,000^{\prime}$ above ground level or less. This is a precision approach that cannot be deviated from by aircraft when it is in use. We feel that it is imperative that future homeowners be made aware of this prior to the purchase of a home through both the avigation easement and overflight disclosures attached.

In addition, we have the following comments:

- A residential 7-day noise test is recommended using single noise event levels (SEL). It is highly recommended for this proposed development, if approved, to have verified interior noise attenuation at or below 45 db .
- Any objects on the site (including cranes used during construction) that penetrate a 100:1 slope from the nearest point of the nearest runway will require filing and approval of FAA Form 7460-1. This form may take 90 days or more for approval. Please note that this is a State and Federal regulatory requirement. Runway endpoint data is available from the Airport for engineering calculations. Our calculations show that a Form 7460-1 may for both the building and associated cranes. In addition, please have crane operators advise the airport prior to erecting the crane.
- Book and page number of the avigation easement must be included on all plats and plans. Once executed please forward a copy to our office.
- Please include the Airport and the Outer Marker for the ILS on the vicinity map.

Please feel free to call me if you have any questions.


Senior Planner/Noise and Environmental

City of Castle Pines • 360 Village Square Lane, Suite B • Castle Pines, CO 80108
Telephone (303) 705-0200 • Fax (303) 688-9414 • castlepinesco.gov

July 31, 2019
SUBJECT: Case No. PDM19-001: The Canyons Planned Development (PD), $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment 30-Day Referral Response Request

Project Description: The applicant is requesting to amend The Canyons PD to allow up to 1,500 dwelling units in Planning Areas (PAs) 12-19; dedicate 167.5 acres of parkland in Planning Area O; dedicate 9.86 acres of school land; and make minor modifications to PD development standards.

Please examine the enclosed project and return your comments to the City of Castle Pines Community Development Department on or before August 31, 2019. Comments not received on or by the date indicated above, will be considered as an endorsement for approval.

Please review and comment in the space provided

## $\square$ NO COMMENT <br> Please be advised of the following concerns:

1. The Authority's CR 72 requires construction and post-construction BMPs. The approach to preserve the natural stream network is consistent with the Authority's approach to maintaining the dendritic stream system. 2. No exceptions to the proposed post-construction BMPs. 3.Provide more information on disturbance within mapped floodplains (Construction Plans) which falls under the Authority's Stream Preservation Corridor. 4. Provide more detail and information on Construction BMPs (Grading and Erosion Control Plans for the construction BMPs). 5. The Authority reserves the right to review and comment on future submittals for this project.

| Agency: CCBWQA |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Print name: Rich Borchardt |  |
| SIGNATURE: M山领. Mut $\qquad$ |  |
| Phone \#; 303.488.7571 | DATE: 8/21/19 |
| EmaiL: rborchardt@r2rengineers.com |  |

If you are unable to submit written comments by the due date, or if you need additional materials or information, please contact me at (303) 705-0224, travis.seawards@castlepinesco.gov. Our office hours are Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to $4: 30$ p.m.


Travis Seawards, AICP
City of Castle Pines Community Development
Enclosed Via Email

City of Castle Pines • 360 Village Square Lane, Suite B • Castle Pines, CO 80108
Telephone (303) 705-0200 • Fax (303) 688-9414 • castlepinesco.gov

July 31, 2019
SUBJECT: Case No. PDM19-001: The Canyons Planned Development (PD), $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment 30-Day Referral Response Request

Project Description: The applicant is requesting to amend The Canyons PD to allow up to 1,500 dwelling units in Planning Areas (PAs) 12-19; dedicate 167.5 acres of parkland in Planning Area $\mathrm{O}_{\text {; }}$ dedicate 9.86 acres of school land; and make minor modifications to PD development standards.

Please examine the enclosed project and return your comments to the City of Castle Pines Community Development Department on or before Au gust 31, 2019. Comments not received on or by the date indicated above, will be considered as an endorsement for approval.

Please review and comment in the s race provided


No COMmENT
PLeASE Be AdVISEd of the following concerns:

D
See attached letter
agency: Parker Water \& Sanitation District
printame: Ably Hildeprandt
signature: mpg velolebramplote
PHONE\#: $720.842 .4290 \quad$ DATE: 8.5 .19
email: ahildebruncta Qusderg
If you are unable to submit written comments by the due date, or if you need additional materials or information, please contact me at (303) 705-0224, travis.seawards @castle inesco. pl. Our office hours are Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to $4: 30$ p.m.


Travis Seawards, AICP
City of Castle Pines Community Development
Enclosed Via Email

City of Castle Pines • 360 Village Square Lane, Suite B • Castle Pines, CO 80108 Telephone (303) 705-0200 • Fax (303) 688-9414 • castlepinesco.gov

July 31, 2019
SUBJECT: Case No. PDM19-001: The Canyons Planned Development (PD), $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment 30-Day Referral Response Request

Project Description: The applicant is requesting to amend The Canyons PD to allow up to 1,500 dwelling units in Planning Areas (PAs) 12-19; dedicate 167.5 acres of parkland in Planning Area O; dedicate 9.86 acres of school land; and make minor modifications to PD development standards.

Please examine the enclosed project and return your comments to the City of Castle Pines Community Development Department on or before August 31, 2019. Comments not received on or by the date indicated above, will be considered as an endorsement for approval.

Please review and comment in the space provided


If you are unable to submit written comments by the due date, or if you need additional materials or information, please contact me at (303) 705-0224, travis.seawards@castlepinesco.gov. Our office hours are Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.


Travis Seawards, AICP
City of Castle Pines Community Development
Enclosed Via Email

# South Metro Fire Rescue 

Travis Seawords
City of Castle Pines
360 Village Square Lane, Suite B
Castle Pines, CO 80108
303.705.0224

Project Name: The Canyons Planned Development-2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Major Amendment
Project File \#: PDM19-001
S Metro Review \# REFOTH19-00216

Review date: 8-8-19
Plan reviewer: Scott Stene
720.989.2249
scott.stene@southmetro.org
Project Summary: The Canyons PD was approved in 2009. The $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment to The Canyons PD, requests the addition of 1,500 dwelling units in Planning Areas (PA) 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19 with mixed use commercial zoning to PA 19. PA 13 will provide an additional High School. All other prior approvals remain unchanged.

Code Reference: 2015 International Fire Code, 2015 International Building Code as adopted by Douglas County.

The South Metro Fire Rescue (SMFR) Fire Marshal's Office has reviewed the documents provided.
SMFR has no objection to the proposed $2^{\text {nd }}$ Amendment Planned Development changes with the following comments provided and the improvements are constructed in accordance with all applicable codes and standards. Permits from South Metro Fire Rescue may be required prior to work.

Site Specific Comments:

1) An electronic submittal of the street layout should be submitted to this office for the purpose of assisting in identifying emergency response times and access.
2) The proposed High School shall be evaluated for location and access.
3) The proposed fire station site shall be evaluated for location, response times, and access.

## Construction Comments:

1. Prior to Construction water distribution plans are to be submitted through SMFR's online permit/review system at www.southmetro.org, following the link for Electronic Plan Submittal. Plans will be reviewed as a Residential Water Distribution record for formal approval of hydrant placement and fire flows. Projected static pressures and modeling shall be provided. Approved plans will be signed as required for the water district. If the area will be built out in phases, water distribution plans for each phase may be individually submitted as needed.
2. Required access, road signage, and water supplies shall be completed for each area prior to the release of building permits for homes or other structures. Combustible building materials shall not be onsite until water supplies are completed and useable for the area.
3. Areas which contain more than 30 homes shall always maintain two routes into and out of the area once homes have been completed
4. Road grading shall not exceed $6 \%$.

# W/Tri-COunty 

August 23, 2019
Travis Seawards
City of Castle Pines
7501 Village Square Drive, Suite 10
Castle Pines, CO 80108

RE: The Canyons Planned Development, 2nd Amendment TCHD Case No. 5777

Dear Mr. Seawards,
Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on the Amendment to allow up to 1500 dwelling units in Planning Areas 12-19, dedicate 167.5 acres of parkland in Planning Area O, and dedicate 9.86 acres of school land within the Canyons Planned Development. Tri-County Health Department (TCHD) staff has reviewed the application for compliance with applicable environmental and public health regulations and principles of healthy community design. After reviewing the application, TCHD has no comments.

Please feel free to contact me at 720-200-1575 or kboyer@tchd.org if you have any questions on TCHD's comments.

Sincerely,


Kathy Boyer, REHS
Land Use and Built Environment Specialist III
cc: Sheila Lynch, Keith Homersham, TCHD
C. Applicant's Response to Agency Comments

## The Canyons

September 25, 2019

Sam Bishop, AICP<br>City of Castle Pines Planner<br>City of Castle Pines<br>360 Village Square Lane, Suite B<br>Castle Pines, CO 80108

Re: Canyons Planned Development - 2nd Amendment, Case No. PDM19-001;
Referral and Second City Comment Letter Responses
Mr. Bishop,
We have received the second letter of City and City consultant comments, City Attorney letter, and the noted referrals, related to the submittal of the 2nd Amendment to the Canyons Planned Development (PD) Land Use Application, Case No. PDM19-001. Comments of referral agencies, City staff members and others have been considered in this revision to the PD and ADA. This letter provides a summary of the referral and applicant responses below to all comments:

## Referral Agency Comments

- Douglas County Planning- The Planning Department noted that higher densities with smaller lot setbacks along the eastern edge of the Canyons will result in greater visual impact to abutting residents, suggesting that community open space areas are an opportunity for visual screening and enhanced community character.
Applicant Response: The 2009 PD approval included significant open space corridors particularly located along the property's edges to reduce visual impacts to adjoining developments. Parcel 3 is 1441 acres of which approximately 712 acres remains open space, representing almost $50 \%$. Dimensions of the open spaces corridors at the perimeter typically exceed 250 ' in width. No changes to 2009 PD development boundaries are proposed with this amendment, thereby maintaining existing buffers to neighbors. In areas of high visibility, earth toned colors on buildings, berming, landscaping and downcast lighting will be used to minimize viewshed impacts.
- Douglas County Engineering- Please see traffic-related comment summary and responses provided in the attached letter from Felsburg Holt Ullevig on behalf of the applicant.
- Colorado Geological Survey- No objection provided
- Tri County Health - No comments provided
- Parker Water and Sanitation District - No additional comments beyond the willserve letter that was provided, noting that it is physically and economically feasible for the District to provide sewer and water service to the Canyons additional 1500 units.
- CDOT - Please see comment summary and responses provided in the attached letter from Felsburg Holt Ullevig on behalf of the applicant. We note that this PD Amendment is a planning level approval, and further review and traffic studies will be incorporated as the platting process moves forward, providing additional opportunities to examine the development's potential traffic related impacts.


## - Town of Castle Rock -

Fire Department - Castle Rock Fire Protection District provided comments in their "will-serve" letter indicating they will serve the portion of the property within their District, subject to applicable laws, fees, charges and taxes and compliance with all agreements, rules and regulations of the District and the Town.
Floodplain - Town of Castle Rock comments were to ensure that floodplains are delineated and Lemon Gulch flooding and erosion concerns are addressed.
Applicant response: As this PD Amendment is a planning/zoning process updating residential densities and land uses, our engineers suggest that delineating the undesignated floodplains in Parcel 3 is best an effort performed during preliminary and final subdivision designs of the project. Section VI(A) within the Drainage Report has been updated to provide this guidance. Additional language has been added to the second paragraph of VI(B) "Major Drainageways" to specifically identify that Lemon Gulch and it's impacts and stability will be studied during the preliminary and final plat design stages of the project.
TIS Comments: Please see comment summary and responses provided in the attached letter from Felsburg Holt Ullevig on behalf of the applicant.

- Douglas County School District - The Douglas County School District (DCSD) referral requested a revision of the Douglas County School dedication figure to be 31.96 acres, rather than the proposed dedication of 9.86 acres, according to their calculations corresponding to the addition of 1500 units within the development. The letter requested the City enter into an MOU with the District addressing how the educational needs of the Canyons can be met through additional future land dedication, cash-in-lieu, or a combination, addition of schools as a use by right within all planning areas, and City codification of student generation and land dedication requirements used by DCSD, along with development monitoring and milestones to ensure adequate land is available for school purposes.
Applicant Response: The applicant and City of Castle Pines are committed to providing appropriate land for necessary DCSD facilities as the Canyons community grows. The District has discussed the future need for a high school site within the City, along with the potential for a middle school sited together. The proposed PD Amendment includes a land dedication proposal sufficient to develop a future
combination high and middle school site along with proposed designation of schools as a "Permitted Use by Special Review" within Planning Areas 13 and 14, consistent with City request. These planning areas are specifically designated because they are sufficiently large and flat enough land character to support the development of a large school facility.

The applicant's traffic consultant has estimated traffic generated by a flagship high school in PA13 or 14 is between 19-34\% of the morning peak along varying sections of Canyonside Blvd. These significant percentages of traffic volumes will be accommodated in the road system designed and installed by The Canyons, reflecting a substantial investment in traffic improvements on behalf of District impact.

- South Metro Fire Rescue - Comments include no objections provided improvements are designed and constructed in accordance with applicable codes and standards. They would like to review street layout, high school location, and fire station site.
Applicant Response: No improvements are currently proposed with this PD Amendment application. At such time, the noted street, high school and fire station improvements will be designed, submitted for review/approval and constructed in accordance with applicable codes and standards and provided for South Metro Fire Rescue review.
- Cherry Creek Basin Water Quality Authority - Authority comments were that development will need to provide construction and post-construction BMPs per Authority's Control Regulation 72. Comments included a request for mapped floodplains and more details on Construction BMPs and GESC.
Applicant response: As a PD Amendment process, no construction is proposed with this application. Inserted below is page 3 from the originally approved 2009 PD showing 100 year mapped floodplains are associated with both South Newlin Gulch and Lemon Gulch within the project area. At such time that subdivision and construction is proposed, appropriate BMPs and GESC plans to address water quality in these drainages will be provided. The Drainage Report will be updated at the time of platting to show the floodplain limits on the Detailed Drainage Map. Language addressing future study of the drainages and the future provision of appropriate mitigation and BMPs has been included in Section VI(B) "Major Drainageways" of the Drainage Report.
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- Centennial Airport / Arapahoe County Public Airport Authority - Airport comments included concern for homes within the Instrument Landing Approach with low aircraft levels, suggesting notification with both an avigation easement and overflight disclosure. Additional comments include a recommended noise test, approval of FAA Form 7460-1 for objects penetrating a $100: 1$ slope from the nearest runway, plat
notification of the avigation easement, and request to show the airport and the Outer Marker on the vicinity map.

Applicant Response: The following responses are noted in sequential order matching the comment letter:

The Airport's concerns are duly noted. The applicant notes that development boundaries of this PD Amendment are unchanged from the original PD Approval in 2009. Disclosures will be made to all buyers of proximity to the Centennial Airport. Overflight disclosures will be made to all buyers and potential avigation easements, covenant disclosure, or plat notes will be recorded as deemed appropriate and necessary by the Developer or Assigns.

A residential noise test meeting Airport recommendations will be performed prior to land development within the site to assess the potential for interior noise levels at or below 45 db .

The applicant expects limited conflicts with the $100: 1$ slope to the nearest point of the Centennial Airport runway since the south area has a max elevation of 6510 in PA10/PA15 area. That leaves roughly $400^{\prime}$ or more window from the approach surface depending on where measured. However, should future development or cranes penetrate this area, the Canyons will obtain all applicable FAA permits.

If it is found that an avigation easement is required for the Development, a copy will be shared with the Arapahoe County Public Airport Authority, and marked on the required plats/plans as required by the City of Castle Pines.

The vicinity map meets the City of Castle Pines requirements. The outer marker property has been shown on the vicinity map and is located on Sheet 2 of the PD. However, the Airport is far beyond the limits of the vicinity map and has not been included.

## City and City Consultant Second Review Letter

## P. Planning Comments:

P1. No additional redlines were required.
S. Survey Comments:

S1. No additional comments.

## T. Traffic Comments:

T1. All previous traffic comments have been addressed.

## D. Drainage \& Utility Comments:

D1. All previous drainage comments have been addressed.

## PW. Public Works/Transportation Comments:

PW1. Previous Public Works comments have been addressed.

PW2. Please revise Section 4.2.3.c to redirect reader to original PD to see roadway sections.
Applicant Response: This revision has been included.
L. Legal Comments: The City attorney requested that "high school" references be changed to "school" to allow any school use, and to add the school use change to the title block.
Applicant Response: These revisions have been made to the PD Plan. We note a second draft of an Amended Annexation and Development Agreement is accompanying this resubmittal for review and finalization.

## NEXT STEPS

The revised Planned Development Plan Exhibit and supporting documents are hereby resubmitted to address the City of Castle Pines comments. We believe we have sufficiently addressed all comments concerning the PD Amendment and request the application be scheduled for public hearings.

Please contact me if you have questions or need further information.
Regards,
Mary t/ant

Mary Hart<br>Project Coordinator<br>North Canyons LLLP

CC: Sam Bishop, AICP- Castle Pines Community Development Director
D. Parker Water and Sewer Will Serve Letter

June 11, 2019
Ms. Mary Hart
Project Coordinator
North Canyons, LLLP
3033 East 1st Avenue, Suite 725
Denver, CO 80206
Subject: Will-Serve Letter and Section 18A Report for the Parker Water and Sanitation District to Provide Water and Sanitary Sewer Services for Canyons PD Amendment \#2

Dear Ms. Hart:
Please accept this letter in response to your request for confirmation of service by the Parker Water and Sanitation District (the "District").

## COMMITMENT TO SERVE

The District provides service to future developments in its boundaries (and certain areas outside of its boundaries) based on the water supply sources available within the District's water rights portfolio. The development known as The Canyons, located in the County of Douglas, State of Colorado, is within the Parker Water and Sanitation District. As such, water and sanitary sewer service will be provided and conditioned upon compliance with all District Rules and Regulations, Standards and Specifications, and/or conditions specific to the property. Provision of service is limited by the amount of water conveyed to the District by the developer. If a development requires more water service than the quantity of water conveyed to the District, a developer may purchase additional service from the District, provided the District agrees. Additional water service is purchased in the form of water resource credits, with each water resource credit giving the developer the right to water service equal to one single family equivalent (SFE). In addition, payment of all applicable fees and charges and construction of required infrastructure is required.

## DISTRICT WATER DEMAND

The current total District water demand is approximately 7,800 acre-feet per year (ac-ft/yr). At buildout, it is estimated that the total water demand will be $20,720 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{yr}$, based on a buildout of 43,507 taps (please visit www.pwsd.org where the District's 2014 MASTER PLAN is available for review). This buildout demand includes demand from The Canyons pursuant to its current development plan. The District's buildout demand will be updated to include the recent additional buildout projections of The Canyons as related to both PD Amendment \#1 And PD Amendment \#2 as part of a Masterplan update schedule for this year.

Upon inclusion of the real property commonly referred to as The Canyons development, water rights sufficient to serve 3,606 single family equivalents (SFEs) were dedicated to the District. In return, the District allocated 3,606 water resource credits for use on The Canyons property. In March of 2019, the Canyons had requested an additional 1,000 water resource credits to serve additional planned development on The Canyons property. The Canyons is subsequently requesting an additional 1,500 residential units in Areas 12-19 of the southern part of the Canyons, which the District is currently willing to sell to The Canyons, subject to execution of an agreement concerning the terms of purchase by The Canyons and the District.

## DISTRICT WATER SUPPLY

The District has a water rights portfolio of adjudicated Denver Basin aquifer ground water rights, both junior and senior tributary rights, storage capacity in Rueter-Hess Reservoir of 71,920 ac-ft (shared with certain partner water providers), and effluent and lawn irrigation return flow reuse rights as part of its augmentation plan. The attached Table 1 summarizes the District's adjudicated first-use rights, which indicates a total of $31,569 \mathrm{ac}-\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{yr}$ (the anticipated yield of these rights in both an average and dry year). Not included in Table 1 are the rights associated with storage in Rueter-Hess Reservoir or any of the District's reuse rights, which will provide additional supplies.

## WATER QUALITY

Water provided by the District to its customers complies with the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment testing and quality requirements.

## SANITARY SEWER SERVICE

The District is able to provide sanitary sewer service for the water taps serving The Canyons.

## FEASIBILITY OF SERVICE

It is physically and economically feasible for the District to provide water and sewer service to The Canyons Development. As with any water provider in the State of Colorado, climate conditions, weather patterns, regulatory changes, and unforeseen events can all impact the ability of the District to serve its customers. Consequently, all representations set forth herein are based on the District's best evaluation of currently available information.

If you have any questions regarding any of the information provided or the District's ability to provide service to The Canyons, please do not hesitate to give us a call.
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TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF PWSD WATER RIGHTS

DECREEO WATER AVAILABLE FOR PWSD USE

| SOURCE | Volume (ac-ft/yr) Decreed in Case No. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 83CW348(A) | 95CW039 | 87CW104(A) ${ }^{2}$ | $87 \mathrm{CW} 104(\mathrm{~B})^{2}$ | 95CW089 ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 99CW006 ${ }^{2}$ | 06CW179 ${ }^{2}$ | 02CW227 ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ | $94 \mathrm{CWO42}^{\text {4 }}$ | 03CW258, et al ${ }^{\text {5 }}$ | 82CW434 ${ }^{\text {6 }}$ | TOTAL |
| Chenry Creek alluvium ${ }^{\text {D }}$ | 726.7 | 132.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 859.0 |
| Lower Davison-NT |  |  | 742.6 | 631.8 | 391.8 | 430.2 | 368.6 | 30.6 | 178.3 | 821.0 | 364.0 | 4,458.9 |
| Lower Dawson-NNT |  |  | 219.4 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 380.8 | 807.6 |  |  |  |  | 1,487.3 |
| Denver-NT |  |  | 430.1 | 908.6 | 272.6 | 611.9 | 235.6 | 94.4 | 104.0 | 1258.0 | 422.0 | 4,337.2 |
| Denver-NNT |  |  | 1016.2 | 9.0 | 377.9 | 616.1 | 1980.6 |  |  |  |  | 3,999.8 |
| Arapahoe-NT |  |  | 1161.7 | 627.0 | 698.3 | 1945.7 | 2447.1 | 64.5 | 547.3 | 2954.0 | 487.0 | 10,932.6 |
| Laramie-Fox Hills-NT |  |  | 1044.1 | 625.3 | 419.2 | 700.8 | 1350.0 | 49.4 | 16.0 | 980.0 | 310.0 | 5,494.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL $=$ | 31,569.6 ${ }^{87}$ |

DENVER BASIN WATER DEEDED AS PART OF INCLUSION (NOT CURRENTLY PART OF PWSD WELKIELSS)

| SOURCE | Volume (ac-ft/yr) Dedicated as Part of PWSD Inclusion of |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CHERRY CREEK CROSSING | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MILLER } \\ & \text { CREEK } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | JDA-DOMINY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEWUN } \\ & \text { CROSSING } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL |
| Lower Dawson-NT | 18.6 | 3.5 | 2.3 |  | 24.4 |
| Lower Davson-NNT |  |  |  | 27.4 | 27.4 |
| Denver-NT | 26.0 | 7.4 |  |  | 33.4 |
| Denver-NNT |  |  | 3.2 | 43.3 | 46.5 |
| Arapahoe-NT | 28.1 | 7.9 | 3.2 | 20.5 | 59.7 |
| Laramie-Fox Hills-NT | 18.0 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 27.9 | 53.5 |
|  |  |  |  | TOTAL $=$ | 244.9 |

GRAND TOTAL (DENVER BASIN AND CHERRY CREEK WATER RIGHTS) $=31,814.5$

1) Water rights changed to municipal use. All of this water is fully consumable and reusable.
2) PWSO well field decree.
3) Hover parcel.
4) Well field established between PWSD and Stroh Ranch for full Stroh Ranch water supply, but water availability limited to volume of water deeded to PWSD from Stroh Ranch.
5) Rights also include water decreed in $82 \mathrm{CW} 116, \mathrm{~W}-8033,81 \mathrm{CW} 403,83 \mathrm{CW} 333$, and 98 CW 459 . Water deeded to PWSD from RidgeGate property but not part of the PWSD well fields.
6) Water deeded to PWSD from Freshfields property but not part of the PWSO well fields.
7) Combined water supply availability from 82 CW 470 and 05 CW 265 .
8) The anticipated yield of these rights in both an average and dry year." (Section 1805A.01.2(2) b. of the DCZR).

# 2014 Annual Report to Consumers Tap Water Quality 


#### Abstract

This information applies only to water provided by Parker Water \& Sanitation District PWSID\# COO118040.


Parker Water \& Sanitation District is committed to providing residents with a safe and reliable supply of high-quality drinking water. Testing is done using sophisticated equipment and advanced procedures to detect possible drinking water contaminants. The District's water meets, or exceeds, state and federal standards for both appearance and safety. This annual "Consumer Confidence Report," required by the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) \& Public Notification Rule, explains the water source, test results, and other important information about your drinking water.

## Contacts and Public Comment Information

Contact the Director of Operations, James
Roche, at 303-841-4627 with questions or comments about the Consumer Confidence Report, or other drinking water concerns. District board meetings are open to the public and are held the second and fourth Thursday of every month at $6: 00 \mathrm{pm}$. The meetings are held at the North Wastewater Reclamation Facility located at 18100 E. Woodman Drive in Parker. Contact Ron Redd, District Manager, at 303-841-4627 for information on other opportunities for public participation in decisions about drinking water.

## Overview

Parker Water \& Sanitation District plans to meet the water demand by utilizing the Reuter-Hess Reservoir in the near future and maintaining existing wells. For more information on long-term planning, contact the District office or visit the District web site: www.pwsd.org.

## Water Source

The District is currently reliant upon groundwater wells located throughout the Parker area. The wells penetrate the Cherry Creek Alluvium as well as the Denver, Dawson, Arapahoe, and Laramie Fox Hill aquifers with depths ranging from 52 feet to 2,674 feet. In the very near future, the District will add surface water from the Rueter-Hess Reservoir to the list of sources that supply the distribution system.

The Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment has provided us with a Source Water Assessment Report for our water supply, you may obtain a copy of the report by visiting http: //wqedcompliance.com/ecr or by contacting James Roche at 303-841-4627. The report is located under "Source Water Assessment Reports", and then "Assessment Report by County". Select DOUGLAS County and find 0118040 ; PARKER WSD.

The Source Water Assessment Report provides a screening-level evaluation of potential contamination that could occur. It does not mean that the contamination has or will occur. We can use this information to evaluate the need to improve our current water treatment capabilities and prepare for future contamination threats. This can help us ensure that quality finished water is delivered to your homes. In addition, the source water assessment results provide a starting point for developing a source water protection plan.

The potential sources of discrete contamination to the water system, as stated in the revised Source Water Assessment Report, include aboveground, underground and leaking storage tank sites and other facilities. Potential sources of contamination in our area also include possible accidental hazardous materials releases.

The potential contamination from dispersed contaminant sources includes the following:

Commercial, industrial/transportation
High intensity residential
Low intensity residential
Urban Recreational grasses
Row crops
Fallow
Small grains
Pasture/Hay
Evergreen forest
Septic Systems
Road miles
Please contact PWSD if you have questions or concerns regarding this information.

## Water Protection

Residents can help to protect source water quality by disposing of household chemical wastes properly. The Household Chemical Roundup is held annually in Parker. For more information about this event or how to properly dispose of waste, please call Parker Water and Sanitation or visit http://www.tchd.org/250/Home-Chemical-Waste.

## Water Conservation

Parker Water \& Sanitation District strongly encourages water conservation to preserve our limited resources. The majority of our groundwater supply is finite and is being depleted rapidly. Currently, irrigation of lawns and gardens is the single greatest demand on our water supply. Reducing reliance on drinking water for irrigation can greatly reduce the burden on our diminishing supplies. For more information on reducing your irrigation water consumption, visit http://www.pwsd.org/874/Conservation.

Utilizing water saving appliances, showerheads, faucets, fixing leaks, and not running water excessively can also aid in conservation. The District offers water saving tips and shower head fixtures to any customer. Please conserve whenever possible.

## Possible Drinking Water Contaminants

The sources of drinking water (both tap and bottled water) include rivers, lakes, streams, ponds, reservoirs, springs, and wells. As water travels over the surface of the land or through the ground, it dissolves naturally occurring minerals and, in some
cases, radioactive material, and can pick up substances resulting from the presence of animals or from human activity. Contaminants that may be present in source water include:

- Microbial contaminants, such as viruses and bacteria that may come from sewage treatment plants, septic systems, agricultural livestock operations, and wildlife.
-Inorganic contaminants, such as salts and metals, which can be naturally-occurring or result from urban storm water runoff, industrial or domestic wastewater discharges, oil and gas production, mining, or farming.
-Pesticides and herbicides that may come from a variety of sources, such as agriculture, urban storm water runoff, and residential uses.
- Organic chemical contaminants, including synthetic and volatile organic chemicals, which are byproducts of industrial processes and petroleum production, and also may come from gas stations, urban storm water runoff, and septic systems.
-Radioactive contaminants can be naturally occurring or be the result of oil and gas production and mining activities.

Given the location and depth of our source water, the occurrence of pollution, other than naturally occurring, is not likely. Shallow wells are more susceptible to potential contamination, and it is possible that groundwater contamination could occur due to infiltration from Cherry Creek or storm runoff drainage that contains pollutants. Consequently, it is critical to keep chemical spills, urban and agricultural runoff from entering our groundwater and surface water. This is also why we continually test our wells for contaminants. Once surface water supplies the system, increased testing will also be completed routinely.

## An Explanation of the Water Quality Data Table

The table shows the results of water quality analysis from the latest routine samplings. Every regulated contaminant detected, even in minute traces, is listed. The table contains the name of each substance; the highest level allowed by the Safe Drinking Water Act, the ideal goals for public health, the amount detected, the usual source of such contamination, footnotes explaining the findings, and a key to units of measurement.

Contaminants that are not detected are not listed. If you are interested in the full list of analytes tested, please contact the Parker Water and Sanitation Laboratory.

## Important Terms and Abbreviations

- Maximum Contaminant Level or MCL: The highest level of a contaminant that is allowed in drinking water. MCLs are set as close to the MCLGs as feasible using the best available treatment technology.
- Maximum Contaminant Level Goal or MCLG: The level of a contaminant in drinking water below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MCLGs allow for a margin of safety.
- Parts per million (ppm) or Milligrams per liter ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) - one part per million corresponds to one minute in two years or a single penny in \$10,000.
- Parts per billion (ppb) or Micrograms per liter ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) - one part per billion corresponds to one minute in 2,000 years, or a single penny in $\$ 10,000,000$.
- Picocuries per liter ( $\mathrm{pCi} / \mathrm{L}$ ) - picocuries per liter is a measure of the radioactivity in water.
- Action Level (AL) - the concentration of a contaminant which, if exceeded, triggers treatment or other requirements which a water system must follow.
- Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level Goal (MRDLG): The level of a drinking water disinfectant, below which there is no known or expected risk to health. MRDLGs do not reflect the benefits of the use of disinfectants to control microbial contaminants.
- Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level (MRDL): The highest level of a disinfectant allowed in drinking water. There is convincing evidence that addition of a disinfectant is necessary for control of microbial contaminants.
- Gross Alpha, Including RA, Excluding RN \& U : This is the gross alpha particle activity compliance value. It includes radium-226, but excludes radon 222 and uranium.


# (Table is a separate document) 

## TABLE KEY

| AL | Action Level |
| :--- | :--- |
| BDL | Below Detection Limit |
| CFU | Coliform-Forming Units |
| MCL | Maximum Contaminant Level |
| MCLG | Maximum Contaminant Level Goal |
| MRDL | Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level |
| MRDLG | Maximum Residual Disinfectant Level Goal |
| ND | Non-Detect |
| pCi $/$ L | Picocuries per liter (a measure of radioactivity) |
| ppm | Parts per million, or milligrams per liter $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l})$ |
| ppb | Parts per billion or micrograms per liter $(\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{l})$ |

## Water Quality Table Footnotes

1 The $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile for lead sample results was 0.0013 ppm .
2 The $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile for copper sample results was 0.48 ppm .

3 Parker Water and Sanitation does not add fluoride to the drinking water. Reported value is Free Chlorine.

## Non-Detected Contaminants

In addition to the compounds listed in the table above, our water was analyzed for many contaminants that were not detected. These compounds are not listed. If you have any concerns about contaminants not addressed in this report, feel free to contact Lisa Scurlock, Technical Services Manager, at 303-841-4627.

## Non-Health Related Water Quality

The ground water sources currently utilized in the District contain minerals resulting in a soft to moderately hard water. Hardness is not a regulated contaminant, but does have associated aesthetic and functional concerns. Hardness can reduce effectiveness of soaps and form scale or deposits. The minerals that make up hardness in water are a necessary dietary requirement. Updated hardness values for the drinking water can be found at www pwsd.org.

The District's well water also contains iron and manganese that can discolor the water. Iron generally turns the water an orange or red color, while manganese can be gray to black. These minerals primarily affect the aesthetic qualities and pose no health concern at the concentrations in our water. Iron and manganese are also necessary dietary requirements. These minerals can make water look unappealing, can stain clothes, appliances and fixtures.

The District is currently adding a polyphosphate blend to our well water to keep the iron, manganese, and hardness in solution. For more information regarding red water or to report a problem, please visit our website at www.pwsd.org.

## Additional Health Information

All drinking water, even bottled water, may reasonably be expected to contain at least small amounts of some contaminants. To ensure that tap water is safe to drink, the EPA prescribes limits on the amount of certain contaminants in water provided by public water systems. The FDA regulates limits for contaminants in bottled water. The presence of contaminants does not necessarily indicate that water poses a health risk. More information about contaminants and potential health effects can be obtained by calling the Environmental Protection Agency's Safe Drinking Water Hotline (800-426-4791).

## Special Populations

Some people may be more vulnerable to contaminants in drinking water than the general population. Immuno-compromised persons, such as persons with cancer undergoing chemotherapy, persons who have undergone organ transplants, persons with HIV/AIDS or other immune system disorders, some elderly, and infants can be particularly at risk from infections. In these instances, advice about drinking water should be sought from their health care providers. EPA/CDC guidelines on appropriate means to lessen the risk of infection by Cryptosporidium are available from the Safe Drinking Water Hotline (800-426-4791).

El informe contiene informacion importante sobre la calidad del agua en su comunidad. Traduzcalo o hable con alguien que lo entienda bien.

| Contaminant | Test Date | Unit | MCL | MCLG | Highest Detected Level | Range | Typical Sources | Violation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Microorganism Contaminants Sampled in the Distribution System: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coliform, Total | 2014 | cfu | No more than 5\% positive samples per period | 0 | 0 | 0 | Naturally present in the environment | NO |
| Inorganic and Organic Contaminants: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Lead | 2014 | ppm | $\mathrm{AL}=0.015$ | 0 | 0.0045 | $\begin{gathered} <0.001- \\ 0.0045 \end{gathered}$ | Corrosion of plumbing systems | NO |
| 2 Copper | 2014 | ppm | $\mathrm{AL}=1.3$ | 1.3 | 0.79 | 0.14-0.79 | Corrosion of plumbing systems | NO |
| 3 Fluoride | 2014 | ppm | 4 | 4 | 1.9 | 0.8-1.9 | Erosion of natural deposits | NO |
| 4 Chlorine $\quad$ Residual, Free | 2014 | ppm | 4.0 MRDL | $\begin{gathered} 4.0 \\ \text { MRDLG } \end{gathered}$ | 1.96 | 0.08-1.96 | Water additive used to control microbes | NO |
| Barium | 2014 | ppm | 2 | 2 | 0.18 | 0.087-0.18 | Erosion of natural deposits | NO |
| Chromium | 2014 | ppm | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0026 | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline<0.0009- \\ 0.0026 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Discharge from steel and pulp mills; erosion of natural deposits | NO |
| Nitrate | 2014 | ppm | 10 | 10 | 0.662 | $\begin{gathered} <0.05- \\ 0.662 \end{gathered}$ | Runoff from fertilizer use; Leaching from septic tanks, sewage; Erosion of natural deposits | NO |
| Radioactive Contaminants: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alpha Emitters | 2014 | pCi/L | 15 | 0 | 8 | 1.3-8 | Erosion of natural deposits | NO |
| Beta/photon emitters | 2014 | pCi/L | 50 | 0 | 8.5 | 1.5-8.5 | Decay of natural and man-made deposits | NO |
| Combined Radium | 2014 | pCi/L | 5 | 0 | 4.3 | 0.97-4.3 | Erosion of natural deposits | NO |
| Uranium | 2014 | ppb | 30 | 0 | 7.6 | $\begin{gathered} <0.03- \\ 7.6 \end{gathered}$ | Erosion of natural deposits | NO |
| Disinfection Byproducts: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haloacetic Acids (HAA) | 2014 | ppb | 60 | NA | 6.3 | 3.4-6.3 | By-product of drinking water disinfection | NO |
| Total Trihalomethanes (TTHMs) | 2014 | ppb | 80 | NA | 29.8 | 17.6-29.8 | By-product of drinking water disinfection | NO |

## E. Existing Canyons Planned Development (circa 2009)
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 SRempeoy $て ゙$
 －Transportation－provide a system that reduces greenhouse gas emisions as compared to
traditional community and fosters access and connectivity between destination points；
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 4.5 Trails














 4.2.13 Private Roadways
a. Alleys in residential and commercial areas shall have a minimum paved
b. width of 12 .e.
b. Shareways Divew.


 4.2 Roadways Cont'd









[^0]:    ${ }^{1} 49$ acres dedicated in the original PD (2009), 8.82 acres dedicated in the $1^{\text {st }}$ amended PD (2018), 21.18 acres in the $2^{\text {nd }}$ amended PD (2019)
    The Canyons Planned Development $2^{\text {nd }}$ Major Amendment - PDM19-001

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In the event Planning Commission wishes to recommend denial of this case, planning staff recommends that Planning Commission consult with the City Attorney prior to making a motion for denial.
    The Canyons Planned Development $2^{\text {nd }}$ Major Amendment - PDM19-001

[^2]:    

[^3]:    
    

[^4]:    

[^5]:    Rebecca Tejada, P.E.
    Director of Engineering

